

125 Maiden Lane, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10038 tel: 212-785-9123

tel: 212-785-9123 fax: 212-785-6114

July 9, 2010

United States Army Corps of Engineers Kansas City District 601 East 12<sup>th</sup> Street, Room 463 Kansas City, Missouri 64106-2896

ATTN: CENWK-PM-ES/Buckrucker

CONTRACT: W912DQ-08-D-0018

PROJECT: Lower Passaic River Restoration Project

Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Oversight

Lower Passaic River Study Area, New Jersey

SUBJECT: Quality Assurance Project Plan, Final Addendum #4

Surface Sediment Samples Co-located with Small Forage Fish Tissue Samples - Collected in conjunction with the Summer 2010 Benthic

Community Survey

Dear Ms. Buckrucker:

CDM Federal Programs Corporation (CDM) is pleased to submit this electronic copy of the Quality Assurance Project Plan, Final Addendum #4, Surface Sediment Samples Co-located with Small Forage Fish Tissue Samples collected in conjunction with the Summer 2010 Benthic Community Survey for the Oversight of the Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study in support of the Lower Passaic River Restoration Project in the Lower Passaic River Study Area, New Jersey. This document is based on the CPG's Benthic QAPP Addendum Number 2 and has been updated to reflect comments received from EPA.

If you have any comments concerning this submittal, please contact me at (212) 377-4056.

Very truly yours,

CDM FEDERAL PROGRAMS CORPORATION

Frank Tsang, P.E. Task Order Manager

Attachment

cc: S. Vaughn, EPA

Project File

J. Mosher, P.E., CDM (Letter Only)

G. Molnar, CDM

- Ont

Bill Sy, EPA S. Budney, CHMM, CDM

J. Oxford, CHMM, CDM



# US Army Corps of Engineers Kansas City District

Quality Assurance Project Plan
Final Addendum #4
Collection of Surface Sediment Samples co-located
with Small Forage Fish Tissue Samples during the
Summer 2010 Benthic Inverterbrate Community
Survey

Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Oversight Lower Passaic River Restoration Project Lower Passaic River Study Area, New Jersey

USACE Contract No. W912DQ-08-D-0018 Task Order No. 014

# LOWER PASSAIC RIVER RESTORATION PROJECT OPERABLE UNIT (OU) 2

Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Oversight
Quality Assurance Project Plan
Final Addendum #4
Collection of Surface Sediment Samples
Co-located with the Small Forage Fish Tissue Samples
During Summer 2010 Benthic Invertebrate Community Survey

Lower Passaic River Study Area, New Jersey

USACE CONTRACT No. W912DQ-08-D-0018

**TASK ORDER No. 014** 

July 9, 2010

Prepared for: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Kansas City District

Prepared by: CDM Raritan Plaza 1, 6th Floor Raritan Center Edison, New Jersey 08837

July 9, 2010 Page i of vii

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

### **Acronym List**

### **Section 1 - Introduction**

1.1 Summary of Surface Sediment Samples Collection

Section 2 – Uniform Fe	ederal Policy for Quality Assurance Project Plans (UFP-QAPP)	
QAPP Worksheet #1.	Title and Approval Page	1
QAPP Worksheet #2.	QAPP Identifying Information	2
QAPP Worksheet #3.	Distribution List	3
QAPP Worksheet #10.	Problem Definition	4
QAPP Worksheet #11.	Project Quality Objectives/Systematic Planning Process Statements	6
QAPP Worksheet #12.	Measurement Performance Criteria Table	9
QAPP Worksheet #14.	Summary of Project Tasks	19
QAPP Worksheet #15.	Reference Limits and Evaluation Table	21
	Project Schedule Timeline Table	
QAPP Worksheet #18.	Sampling Locations and Methods/SOP Requirements Table	32
QAPP Worksheet #19.	Analytical SOP Requirements Table	33
QAPP Worksheet #20.	Field Quality Control Sample Summary	
	Table	
QAPP Worksheet #23.	Analytical SOP References Table	35
QAPP Worksheet #24.	Analytical Instrument Calibration Table	37
QAPP Worksheet #28.	QC Samples Table for Physical Water Column Study	40
QAPP Worksheet #30.	Analytical Services Table	51
QAPP Worksheet #36.	Validation (Steps IIa and IIb) Summary	
	Table	52
QAPP Worksheet #37.	Usability Assessment	53
Litaratura Citad		

### **List of Appendices**

Appendix G - Figure 1 Excerpted from QAPP Addendum No. 2: Collection of Surface Sediment Samples co-located with the Small Forage Fish Tissue Samples

CDM Field Oversight Form in Appendix B of the Physical Water Column Monitoring/Generic Final QAPP dated March 9, 2010 will be used during the Surface Sediment Sampling.

**Note:** Worksheets not included herein are included in the Physical Water Column Monitoring/Generic Final QAPP dated March 9, 2010.



Revision: 0 July 9, 2010 Page ii of vii

### **Acronyms**

% percent

%D percent difference
 %R percent recovery
 μg/g microgram per gram
 μg/L microgram per liter

A analytical

AAS atomic absorption spectrometry

ABS absolute difference

AES atomic emission spectrophotometry
ANSETS Analytical Services Tracking System

ASC analytical services coordinator

ASTM American Society of Testing and Materials

BS Bachelor of Science
CA corrective action

CAS Chemical Abstract Service

CCV continuing calibration verification

CD compact disk

CDM Camp, Dresser & McKee

CERCLA Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

CHMM Certified Hazardous Materials Manager

CIH certified industrial hygienist
CLP Contract Laboratory Program

COC chain of custody

CPG Cooperating Parties Group
CRM certified reference material

CRQL contract required quantitation limits

CVAFS cold vapor atomic fluorescence spectrometry

DESA Division of Environmental Science and Assessment

DL detection limit

DOC dissolved organic carbon
DoD Department of Defense
DQA data quality assessment
DQI data quality indicators
DQL data quality level
DQO data quality objectives

DV data validation



EDD electronic data deliverable

EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency

EQL estimated quantitation limit ESAT EPA data validation contractor FAR Federal Acquisition Regulations

FASTAC Field and Analytical Services Teaming Advisory Committee

FID flame ionization detector

FS feasibility study
FSP field sampling plan
FTL field task leader

GC/MS gas chromatograph / mass spectroscopy

H&S health and safety

H&SM health and safety site manager

HASP Health and Safety Plan HDPE high density polyethylene

HPLC High Pressure Liquid Chromatography

HQ headquarters

HRGC/HRMS High Resolution Gas Chromatography / High Resolution Mass Spectrometry HRGC/LRMS High Resolution Gas Chromatography / Low Resolution Mass Spectrometry

ICAL initial calibration

ICP inductively coupled plasma

ICP-AES Inductively Coupled Plasma – Atomic Emission Spectrometry

ICP-MS Inductively Coupled Plasma – Mass Spectrometry

ID identification

IPR initial precision and recovery

IR infra-red KC Kansas City

LAN local area network
LC lethal concentration

LCS laboratory control samples

LCSD laboratory control sample duplicates

LPR Lower Passaic River

Ltd. limited

MDL method detection limit mg/kg milligram per kilogram mg/L milligram per liter MPI Malcolm Pirnie Inc.

MS matrix spike



MS/ MSD matrix spikes / matrix spike duplicate

NA not available or not applicable

ng/g nanogram per gram ng/kg nanogram per kilogram

NJ New Jersey

NJDEP New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection

NJDOT New Jersey Department of Transportation

NOAA National Oceanic Atmospheric Administration

NY New York

°C degrees Celsius

OPR ongoing precision and recovery

OU operable unit

oz ounce

PAH polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon

PAL project action limit

PCB polychlorinated biphenyl

PCDD/PCDF polychlorodibenzodioxin / polychlorodibenzofurans

pg/g picogram per gram

PPE Personal Protection Equipment ppt parts per thousand (salinity unit)

PQL project quantitation limit PQLG project quantitation limit goal

PQO project quality objective

PREmis Passaic River Estuary Management Information System

PRP potentially responsible party

PT Performance Test
QA quality assurance

QAC quality assurance coordinator QAPP quality assurance project plan

QC quality control

QCS quality control sample
QL quantitation limit
QP quality procedure
RA remedial action

RAS routine analytical services

RI/FS Remedial Investigation / Feasibility Study

RPD relative percent difference RPM remedial project manager



RSCC Regional Sample Control Coordinator

RSD relative standard deviation S&A sampling and analytical

SA self assessment

SDG Sample Delivery Group

SM Standard Method

SOP standard operating procedure

SOW scope of work

SVOC semivolatile organic compound

TAL target analyte list
TBD to be determined
TCL target compound list
TOC total organic carbon
TOM task order manager

TSOP Technical Standard Operating Procedure
USACE United States Army Corps of Engineers

USEPA United States Environmental Protection Agency

USFWS United States Fish and Wildlife Service

WS worksheet

### Dioxin and Furans:

**HpCDD** hepta-chlorodibenzo-p-dioxin **HpCDF** hepta-chlorodibenzofuran **HxCDD** hexa-chlorodibenzo-p-dioxin **HxCDF** hexa-chlorodibenzofuran **OCDD** octa-chlorodibenzo-p-dioxin **OCDF** octa-chlorodibenzofuran **PeCDD** penta-chlorodibenzo-p-dioxin **PeCDF** penta-chlorodibenzo-furan **TCDD** tetrachloro-dibenzo-p-dioxin

tetrachloro-dibenzo-furan



**TCDF** 

### Introduction

CDM Federal Programs Corporation (CDM) will perform oversight and accept split surface sediment samples from the Cooperating Parties Group (CPG) during the Summer 2010 Benthic Invertebrate Community Survey.

This Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) Addendum (No. 4) and the *Lower Passaic River RI/FS Oversight Final QAPP, Physical Water Column Monitoring and Generic Information for Upcoming Tasks*, dated March 2010 (hereafter referred to as the Final QAPP) is the governing document for execution of this oversight. CDM will use the various plans prepared by the CPG contractors to verify proper execution of the surface sediment sampling during the Summer 2010 Benthic Invertebrate Community Surveys, conducted as part of the RI/FS.

The March 2010 Final QAPP indicated that future oversight tasks assigned to CDM would be appended with selected worksheets. The following worksheets are included in this addendum to reflect the CPG QAPPs, *Surface Sediment Chemical Analyses and Benthic Invertebrate Toxicity and Bioaccumulation Testing* (Windward 2009) and Benthic QAPP Addendum No. 2 (Windward 2010):

- Worksheet No. 1 contains the title and approval pages for the addendum
- Worksheet No. 2 contains the QAPP identifying information
- Worksheet No. 3 provides the distribution list
- Worksheet No. 10 describes the specific problem definition
- Worksheet No. 11 provides the project quality objectives
- Worksheet No. 14 provides a summary of project tasks
- Worksheet No. 16 provides the schedule and timeline
- Worksheet No. 18 provides the proposed survey locations
- Worksheet No. 37 provides the usability assessment (field summary report)

Worksheets 12, 15, 19, 20, 23, 24, 28, 30, and 36 are also included in this addendum to address the sampling and analytical requirements of this event. The CPG's Benthic QAPP Addendum No. 2 and the CPG's original Benthic QAPP provide procedures for conducting the sediment sampling.

## 1.1 Summary of Surface Sediment Samples Collection

CDM's oversight program is designed to provide technical review, verify the accuracy of the CPG's sediment sample results and evaluate the CPG-implemented QAPPs for sediment sampling.

Oversight will include field observation and acceptance of split sediment samples from areas of small forage range fish catch success, and as part of the triad approach used to evaluate risks to benthic communities. Split samples will be analyzed for select contaminants as requested by EPA and USACE and include: PCB congeners, polychlorinated dibenzo-dioxin and furans (PCDD/PCDF) congeners, polyaromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) compounds, pesticides, SVOC, metals (including mercury and methylmercury), and physical parameters [percent moisture and total organic carbon (TOC)]. Additional oversight activities will include review of CPG-selected sampling locations to verify and supporting documents.



PWCM/Generic QAPP Final Addendum No. 4 Surface Sediment Sampling co-located with Small Forage Range Fish Tissue Samples Revision: 0 July 9, 2010 Page vii of vii

This oversight QAPP details the planning and execution processes for conducting field oversight; and accepting, preparing and shipping samples for analysis.



# QAPP Worksheet #1 Title and Approval Page

Document Title: LPR Restoration Project Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) Addendum No. 4, Collection of Surface Sediment Samples Co-located with the Small Forage Fish Tissue Samples

Lead Organization: United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) - Northwestern Division

Preparer's Name and Organizational Affiliation: Jeniffer Oxford, CDM

Preparer's Address, Telephone Number, and E-mail Address: 125 Maiden Lane, New York, NY 10038; 212-377-4536; oxfordjm@cdm.com

Preparation Date (Day/Month/Year): July 9, 2010

Investigative Organization's Task Order Manager/Date:	Conf
Frank Tsang/CDM	Signature
Investigative Organization's Project QA Manager/Date:  Doug Updike/CDM	Signature
Lead Organization's Project Manager/Date: Elizabeth Buckrucker/USACE - KC District	Signature
EPA Remedial Project Manager / Date: Stephanie Vaughn	Signature
EPA Quality Assurance Officer / Date: William Sy	Signature

Document Control Numbering System: Not Applicable (N/A)



Revision: 0 July 9, 2010 Page 2 of 55

# QAPP Worksheet #2 QAPP Identifying Information

Site Name/Project Name: Lower Passaic River (LPR) Restoration Project	<b>Title</b> : QAPP Addendum No. 4, Collection of Surface Sediment Samples co-located with Small Forage Fish Tissue Samples		
Site Location: LPR study area, New Jersey	Revision Number: 0		
Site Number/Code: NJD 980528996	Revision Date: NA		
Operable Unit (OU): OU2	Contractor Name: CDM		
Contractor Number: W912DQ-08-D-0018			
Contract Title: Unrestricted Indefinite Delivery/Ir (AE) Environmental Services for EPA Region 2 and	ndefinite Quantity, Multiple Award Contract, for Achitect-Engineer nd the Corps of Engineers Northwestern Division.		
Task Order Number: 14			

1. Regulatory program: Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) (Superfund)

2. Approval entity: <u>United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)</u>

3. The QAPP is (select one): Generic  $\sqrt{\text{Project Specific}}$ 

4. Dates of negotiation: NA

5. Dates and titles of QAPP documents written for previous and current site work, if applicable:

Title	Approval Date
See Final QAPP for a full list of previous QAPP prepared for site work	
Lower Passaic River RI/FS Oversight Final QAPP, Physical Water Column Monitoring and Generic Information for Upcoming Tasks (PWCM/Generic QAPP) (referred to herein as Final QAPP)	March 2010
LPR RI/FS Oversight QAPP, Draft Addendum #1: Avian Community Survey	TBD
LPR RI/FS Oversight QAPP, Final Addendum #2: Fish Community Survey	June 8, 2010
LPR RI/FS Oversight QAPP, Final Addendum #3: Benthic Invertebrate Community Survey	June 8, 2010

- 6. Organizational partners (stakeholders) and connection with lead organization: <u>EPA, USACE, New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP), New Jersey Department of Transportation (NJDOT), National Oceanic Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS)</u>
- 7. Data users: Partner Agencies, CDM, Louis Berger Group, Inc., HydroQual, Inc., and stakeholders.
- 8. If any required QAPP elements and required information are not applicable to the project, then circle the omitted QAPP elements and required information on the attached table. Provide an explanation for their exclusions below: the Final Generic QAPP provides all the required worksheets. This addendum addresses only the Collection of Surface Sediment Samples Co-located with the Small Forage Fish Tissue Samples during the Summer 2010 Benthic Community Survey oversight, therefore only worksheets pertinent to this task and information not previously provided are included.

This is an oversight project; therefore, the CPG's contractors will be performing health and safety monitoring, and will be responsible for equipment calibration, inspection and maintenance (survey instruments). CDM will monitor the field activities and document observations.



# QAPP Worksheet #3 Distribution List

QAPP Recipients	Title	Organization	Telephone Number	Fax Number	E-mail Address
Stephanie Vaughn	Remedial Project Manager (RPM)	EPA	(212) 637-4427	(212) 637-4393	Vaughn.stephanie@epamail.epa.gov
Elizabeth Buckrucker	Project Manager (PM)	USACE	(816) 389-3581		elizabeth.a.buckrucker@usace.army.mil
William Sy	QA Officer	EPA	(732) 632-4766	(732) 321-6622	Sy.william@epa.gov
Janine MacGregor	Partner Agency	NJDEP	(609) 633-0784		Janine.macgregor@dep.state.nj.us
Elkins Green	Partner Agency	NJDOT	(609) 530-8075		elkins.green@dot.state.nj.us
Tim Kubiak	Partner Agency	USFWS	(609) 646-9310		tim_kubiak@fws.gov
Reyhan Mehran	Partner Agency	NOAA	(212) 637-3257		Reyhan.mehran@noaa.gov
Jeanne Litwin	Project Manager	CDM	(212) 377-4524	(212) 785-6114	litwinJ@cdm.com
Frank Tsang	Task Order Manager	CDM	(212) 377-4056	(212) 785-6114	Tsangc@cdm.com
Sharon Budney	Deputy Task Order Manager	CDM	(732) 590-4662	(732) 225-7851	budneysl@cdm.com
Jeniffer Oxford or other assigned QAC	Regional QA Coordinator (RQAC)/ Project QA Officer	CDM	(212) 377-4536	(212) 785-6114	oxfordjm@cdm.com
George Molnar	Field Task Leader	CDM	(732) 590-4633	(732) 225-7851	molnargc@cdm.com
Scott Kirchner	Analytical Services Coordinator	CDM	(732) 590-4677	(732) 225-7851	kirchnersf@cdm.com
James Fitzpatrick	Sediment Transport Modeler	HydroQual	(201) 529-5151	(201) 529-5728	jfitzpatrick@hydroqual.com



### QAPP Worksheet #10 Problem Definition

#### The problem to be addressed by the project:

Surface sediment sampling: Oversight will include field observation and collection of sediment split samples from areas of small forage-range fish catch success, and as part of the triad approach used to evaluate risks to benthic communities.

#### The environmental questions being asked:

- Does the CPG data adequately describe the site conditions and is it representative for project decisions?
- Is the CPG and CDM data complete and accurate?
- Are the data sets comparable?
- Are the CPG versus CDM data relative percent difference (RPD)'s within the measurement performance criteria?

Secondary data: See Worksheet #13 of the CPG Benthic QAPP (Windward 2009)

#### The possible classes of contaminants and the affected matrices:

Split sediment samples will be collected for the following chemical analyses:

- polychlorinated biphenyls (PCB) congeners
- polychlorodibenzodioxin/polychlorodibenzofurans (PCDD/PCDF) congeners
- organochlorine pesticides
- polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs)
- metals including inorganic total mercury
- methylmercury
- percent moisture
- total organic carbon (TOC)

Split samples will not be accepted for the following analytes which will be analyzed by the CPG contractors: VOCs, alkylated PAHs, PCB Aroclors, herbicides, total petroleum hydrocarbons, butyltins, sulfide, total phosphorus, total Kjeldahl nitrogen, cyanide, ammonia, acid volatile sulfide/simultaneously extracted metals, and grain size.

#### The rationale for inclusion of chemical and non-chemical analyses:

The split samples will be used to support the goals of the oversight program. The analyses selected to be split were determined to be more critical for oversight evaluation; the analyses that will not be split are ancillary parameters and not major risk drivers. VOCs were identified as contaminants of potential ecological concern in sediment but are not bioaccumulative and herbicides have low bioaccumulation potential. The field observations and split sample data will enable CDM to perform technical review and evaluation on the CPG field program, analytical data and reports and to qualitatively assess any potential bias in the CPG dataset.



PWCM/Generic QAPP Final Addendum No. 4
Surface Sediment Sampling co-located with Small Forage Range Fish Tissue Samples
Revision: 0
July 9, 2010
Page 5 of 55

### QAPP Worksheet #10 Problem Definition

### Project decision conditions ("If..., then..." statements):

- If field work is inconsistent with the CPG QAPPs, then the field oversight staff will verify tasks with respect to the CPG's QAPPs, and HASP and note deviations with the CPG's field project leader and document such discussions in the Daily Field Summary Reports submitted to EPA. The CDM Task Order Manager, USACE PM and EPA RPM will be informed if there are deviations.
- If the CPG team needs to relocate survey locations, or there are any changes to the planned field program, CDM will communicate this change to the USACE and document it on the Daily Field Summary Reports.

CDM will present the data findings in a Report and submit it to the USACE and EPA who will then determine if any additional actions are required.



# QAPP Worksheet #11 Project Quality Objectives /Systematic Planning Process Statements

Who Will Use the Data? USACE, EPA and other partner agencies, CDM, and stakeholders (as necessary).

#### What Will the Data be Used For?

The CPG will use the sediment data to support the ecological risk assessment (ERA) and, in conjunction with tissue chemical concentrations, develop biota-sediment accumulation factors. Oversight activities will monitor the CPG-implemented surface sediment sampling program to verify that elements of the approved RI/FS QAPPs are fulfilled. The oversight field crew will also review the CPG-selected sampling locations. CDM's split sample results will be compared to the data obtained by the CPG to determine if a bias exists in the data produced by the CPG and if the data is complete and accurate and compliant with the approved QAPPs.

A comparison of the split sample data and the CPG parent sample data will only be completed for parameters that were analyzed and detected by both the CPG program and the oversight program. Data comparison will not be conducted on concentrations that are considered non-detect by either the CPG validators or oversight validators. (Note that if a consistent bias in detections is observed in either the split samples or CPG samples, an evaluation of detection limits will be completed.) The data comparison will be presented in a table showing the relative percent difference for values that are 5 times the quantitation limits. As appropriate, alternative data comparisons will be provided. For each location, a mean and variance of the sample concentrations may also be calculated. These statistics will be compared to the CPG samples. For analytical groups that contain multiple parameters (e.g., congeners), the data comparison will be completed on select parameters per chemical class.

Because of the overlap of the SVOC and PAH chemical classes, some analytes will be reported twice in the split sample program. For the data comparison, PAH results reported by Axys Analytical Services using the HRGC/LRMS method will take precedence over the PAH data generated by DESA or EPA CLP during the SVOC analysis.

CDM's QC data will be used to determine CDM's split samples data quality and comparability with the CPG's data and whether sample results are acceptable based on the established project data quality objectives (DQOs). QC sample results will be compared to the measurement performance criteria (MPC) of the data quality indicators (DQIs).

To further achieve these objectives, CDM field personnel will observe the CPG's contractors field implementation of the RI/FS QAPPs and note any deviations. Deviations will be brought to the attention of the CPG's contractor, and reported to the CDM task order manager who will communicate this information to the USACE PM and EPA RPM. These will be documented in the Daily Field Summaries and in the Final Report and include a discussion of the impact of the deviation(s) on the data quality. The CPG contractor's activities will be documented in the field logbook and oversight forms. A copy of the oversight form is provided in Appendix B of CDM's Final QAPP.

### What Type of Data is Needed?

CDM will observe and document the surface sediment sampling activities conducted by the CPG's contractor to facilitate verification of the chemical data suitability for the ecological risk assessment. Split samples will be collected at random locations selected by the CDM Field team or as directed by the CDM Deputy TOM or the USACE/EPA project managers. Chemical and physical data, PCB congeners, PCDD/PCDF congeners, organochlorine pesticides, PAHs, and SVOCs, metals including inorganic total mercury, methylmercury, such as percent moisture and TOC will be determined from the split samples accepted from the CPG. Low limits are required for mercury and methylmercury as shown on QAPP Worksheet # 15



July 9, 2010 Page 7 of 55

# QAPP Worksheet #11 Project Quality Objectives /Systematic Planning Process Statements

#### How much data are needed?

Oversight observations will be made at the locations shown on Figure 1 of the CPG's Benthic QAPP Addendum No. 2, Collection of Surface Sediment Samples Co-located with the Small Forage Fish Tissue Samples. CDM will observe the CPG's Contractor sampling at all locations and will accept split samples at approximately 10 percent of the sampling locations. Worksheets #. 11 and 18 of the CPG's Benthic QAPP Addendum No. 2 and Figure 1 (Appendix G) show the planned locations for sampling.

#### How "good" do the data need to be in order to support the environmental decision?

The oversight observation will mirror the CPG locations to allow data comparability. CDM's oversight staff will document whether the sampling program is consistent with the CPG's Benthic QAPPs. The representativeness of the data is dependent on the sampling design.

Definitive level data is required for full validation of the data. The laboratory reporting limits (contract required quantitation limits (CRQLs) for CLP data), need to be below or equal to the CPG's project required detection limits or the CPG's achievable laboratory quantitation limits. CDM will notify EPA's RSCC or subcontract laboratory and request lower reporting limits to achieve the project data quality objectives for sensitivity.

Validation of data will be performed by DESA/ EPA; however samples analyzed by a subcontract laboratory will be validated by CDM.

In addition, to ensure that measurement performance criteria for usability (criteria for measures of precision, accuracy, representativeness, comparability, completeness, and sensitivity) are met, all CDM data will be subject to a data usability assessment. The inputs will be the EPA generated validation reports and subcontract laboratory QC summaries. Measurement performance criteria for the assessment are presented in Worksheets #12, 28, 35 and 36. The results will be presented in a CDM data report.

The data usability assessment will evaluate whether appropriate field procedures were followed and whether data met the approved QAPP and project DQOs and are usable for the stated project needs.

#### Where, when, and how should the data be collected?

When - The surface sediment samples will be collected by the CPG's contractor and split with the CDM oversight staff during the summer benthic invertebrate survey. Oversight will be performed according to the CPG's schedule. The exact survey date is currently to be determined.

Where - The survey locations are shown in the CPG's Benthic QAPP Addendum No. 2, Figure 1. At locations selected by CDM in consultation with the USACE and EPA, additional sediment mass will be collected to generate sufficient mass for both sample sets.

<u>How</u> - Field sampling procedures are described in the CPG's Benthic QAPP (Worksheet # 11 and Attachment D) and the Benthic QAPP Addendum No. 2 which details the sampling procedures which describe how the samples will be collected. CDM will accept the split samples and prepare them for shipment.



Page 8 of 55

# QAPP Worksheet #11 Project Quality Objectives /Systematic Planning Process Statements

#### Who will collect and generate the data?

CDM oversight staff will record field observations and accept splits of the selected locations while the field sampling program is being conducted by the CPG. The analytical laboratories outlined in this QAPP will generate the data.

#### How will the data be reported?

- Field observations will be recorded as described in CDM's Final QAPP using field oversight forms provided in Appendix B therein. Oversight staff will also record notes in field logbooks in accordance with TSOP 4-1 provided in Appendix C of the CDM Final QAPP.
- Results will be reported in text format and will include a discussion of the data quality, deviations from the QAPP, and oversight data comparability with the CPGs data. This review will be used to evaluate the accuracy of the CPG data.
- Sample results generated by the DESA or EPA CLP laboratory will be e-mailed to CDM for use in the data assessment and evaluation
- Sample results generated by CDM's subcontract laboratory will be e-mailed to CDM for review and validation.
- Data reporting is further covered in the CDM Final QAPP.

#### How will the data be archived?

Hard copies of data will be kept in the Edison office until archived in the project file; if requested survey data will be uploaded to a PREmis or equivalent database.
The CDM March 2010 Final QAPP contains other archival information.



Revision: 0 July 9, 2010

Page 9 of 55

### QAPP Worksheet #12-d Measurement Performance Criteria Table

Matrix	Sediment		
Analytical Group	PCB Congeners		
Concentration Level	Low		

Sampling Procedure	Analytical Method/ SOP	Data Quality Indicators (DQIs)	Measurement Performance Criteria <sup>1</sup> (MPC)	QC Sample and/or Activity Used to Assess Measurement Performance	QC Sample Assesses Error for Sampling (S), Analytical (A) or Both (S&A)
CPG Group's SOP, and QAPP	EPA Method 1668A or	Precision	RPD ≤ 40% if concentration ≥5 CRQL	Split samples and field duplicates	S & A
CDM will accept split	CBC01.2	Precision	≤ 20% RPD; ±QL for samples <10x QL	Laboratory duplicate	A
		Accuracy/Bias	70 -130 %recovery	Certified Reference Material; Calibration Verification Sample	Α
		Accuracy/Bias Precision	60-140 %recovery RSD ≤ 40%	Initial Precision and Recovery	A
		Accuracy/Bias	Per laboratory SOP Warning 70-130%R; Accept 50-150 %recovery	LCS or Ongoing Precision and Recovery	A
		Accuracy/ Representativeness	4±2 degrees Celsius 10 degrees Celsius (DV)	Temperature Blank checks Data validation (DV)	S
		Comparability	Comparable units, and methods	Data Quality assessment	S & A
		Completeness	≥ 90% collection and analysis	Data Quality Assessment	S & A
		Sensitivity/ accuracy	≤ QLs (WS#15)	Field rinsate blanks/ Method blanks/ DV and DQA	S & A

### Note:

1. The assigned laboratory will perform and meet all the measurement performance criteria that assess the analytical DQIs as specified in the applicable laboratory SOP.



Revision: 0 July 9, 2010

Page 10 of 55

# QAPP Worksheet #12-e Measurement Performance Criteria Table

Matrix	Sediment
Analytical Group	PCDD/PCDF Congeners
Concentration Level	Low

Sampling Procedure	Analytical Method/ SOP	Data Quality Indicators (DQIs)	Measurement Performance Criteria <sup>1</sup> (MPC)	QC Sample and/or Activity Used to Assess Measurement Performance	QC Sample Assesses Error for Sampling (S), Analytical (A) or Both (S&A)
CPG Group's SOP, and QAPP	USEPA Method	Precision	RPD ≤ 40% if concentration ≥5 CRQL	Split samples and field duplicates	S & A
CDM will accept split	1613B –	Precision	±20% of mean if concentration >10DL	Laboratory duplicate	Α
	Axys Analytical Services SOP MSU-018 (EPA	Accuracy/Bias Precision	70-130 %recovery (or per laboratory SOP) RPD ≤ 20% if >10 QL	LCS; MS/MSD MS/MSD	S & A
	Method 1613B)	Accuracy/ Representativeness	4±2 degrees Celsius 10 degrees Celsius (DV)	Temperature Blank checks Data validation (DV)	S
	Method is proprietary can be provided upon	Precision	15-50% RSD or per laboratory SOP Table 1	Initial precision and recovery	Α
	request	Accuracy/Bias	Various % recovery per laboratory SOP Table 1		
		Accuracy/Bias	15-50% RSD or per laboratory SOP Table 1	Ongoing precision and recovery	Α
		Accuracy/Bias	17-130% recovery	Surrogate standards	A
		Comparability	Comparable units, and methods	Evaluated during Data Quality Assessment	S & A
		Completeness	≥ 90% collection and analysis	Evaluated during Data Quality Assessment	S & A
		Sensitivity/ accuracy	≤ QLs (WS#15)	Field rinsate blanks/ Method blanks/ DV and DQA	S & A

### Note:

1. The assigned laboratory will perform and meet all the measurement performance criteria that assess the analytical DQIs as specified in the applicable laboratory SOP.



Revision: 0 July 9, 2010

Page 11 of 55

# QAPP Worksheet #12-f Measurement Performance Criteria Table

Matrix	Sediment
Analytical Group	Chlorinated Pesticides
Concentration Level	Low

Sampling Procedure	Analytical Method/ SOP	Data Quality Indicators (DQIs)	Measurement Performance Criteria <sup>1</sup> (MPC)	QC Sample and/or Activity Used to Assess Measurement Performance	QC Sample Assesses Error for Sampling (S), Analytical (A) or Both (S&A)
CPG Group's SOP, and		Precision	RPD ≤ 40% if concentration ≥5 QL	Split samples and field duplicates	S & A
QAPP	EPA Method 1613B Modified	Precision	±20% of mean if concentration >10DL	Laboratory duplicate	A
CDM will accept split	Lab SOP MLA-028 R05	Accuracy/Bias	Per laboratory or method SOP	Certified Reference Material	S & A
			±20%	Calibration Verification	
	Method is proprietary can be provided upon request	Accuracy/Bias	50-130% recoveries per SOP MLA-028 (Tables 4 and 5)	On-going Precision and Recovery /Matrix Spike	А
		Accuracy/ Representativeness	4±2 degrees Celsius 10 degrees Celsius (DV)	Temperature Blank checks Data validation (DV)	S
		Accuracy/Bias	30-150% recovery (See Table 4 for individual limits)	Surrogate	Α
		Comparability	Comparable units, and methods	Evaluated during Data Quality Assessment	S & A
		Completeness	≥ 90% Collection and ≥ 90% Valid data	Evaluated during Data Quality Assessment	S & A
		Sensitivity/ accuracy	≤ QLs (WS#15 and Table 4 of SOP)	Field rinsate blanks/Method blanks/ DV checked during DQA	S & A

#### Note:

The assigned laboratory must perform and meet all the quality assurance requirements specified in MLA-028 including: performance of initial and ongoing studies, calibration verification, addition of internal standards, analyses of blanks and determination of detection limits.



Revision: 0 July 9, 2010

Page 12 of 55

# QAPP Worksheet #12-g Measurement Performance Criteria Table

Matrix	Sediment
Analytical Group	PAHs
Concentration Level	Low

Sampling Procedure	Analytical Method/ SOP	Data Quality Indicators (DQIs)	Measurement Performance Criteria <sup>1</sup> (MPC)	QC Sample and/or Activity Used to Assess Measurement Performance	QC Sample Assesses Error for Sampling (S), Analytical (A) or Both (S&A)
CPG Group's SOP, and QAPP	Axyx SOP MLA-021	Precision	RPD ≤ 40% if concentration ≥5 CRQL	Split samples and field duplicates	
CDM will accept split	based on GC/MS Isotope dilution	Precision	±20% of mean if concentration >10DL	Laboratory duplicate or MS/MSD	Α
	Method is proprietary can be provided upon	Accuracy/Bias	50-200 %recovery (Table 2 of laboratory SOP for individual limits)	Matrix Spike	S & A
	request	Accuracy/Bias	15-130% recovery (See Table 2 for individual limits)	Perdeuterated Surrogate	А
		Accuracy/ Representativeness	4±2 degrees Celsius 10 degrees Celsius (DV)	Temperature Blank checks Data validation (DV)	S
		Comparability	Comparable units, and methods	Evaluated during Data Quality assessment	S & A
		Completeness	≥ 90% Collection and ≥ 90% Valid data	Evaluated during Data Quality Assessment	S & A
		Sensitivity/ accuracy	≤ QLs (WS#15) and Table 4 of laboratory SOP)	Field rinsate blanks/ Method blanks/ DV and DQA	S & A

#### Note:



<sup>1.</sup> The assigned laboratory will perform and meet all the measurement performance criteria that assess the analytical DQIs as specified in the applicable laboratory SOP.

Revision: 0 July 9, 2010

Page 13 of 55

# QAPP Worksheet #12-h Measurement Performance Criteria Table

Matrix	Sediment
Analytical Group	SVOC
Concentration Level	Low

Sampling Procedure	Analytical Method/ SOP	Data Quality Indicators (DQIs)	Measurement Performance Criteria <sup>1</sup> (MPC)	QC Sample and/or Activity Used to Assess Measurement Performance	QC Sample Assesses Error for Sampling (S), Analytical (A) or Both (S&A)
CPG Group's SOP, and QAPP	SW-846 Method	Precision	RPD ≤ 40% if concentration ≥5 CRQL	Split samples and field duplicates	S & A
CDM will accept split	8270C/SOM01.2	Precision	Per laboratory SOP; DESA %RPD <30% (see note 2)	LCS/LCSD; MS/MSD <sup>2</sup>	A
		Accuracy/Bias	Per CLP SOW or per laboratory SOP (compound specific)	LCS; MS/MSD <sup>2</sup>	А
		Accuracy	Per CLP SOW or per laboratory SOP; DESA recoveries 30-120% for base neutrals and 20-120% for acids	Surrogate	A
		Accuracy/ Representativeness	4±2 degrees Celsius 10 degrees Celsius (DV)	Temperature Blank checks Data validation (DV)	S & A
		Comparability	Comparable units, and methods	Evaluated during Data Quality assessment	S & A
		Completeness	≥ 90% Collection and ≥ 90% Valid data	Evaluated during Data Quality Assessment	S & A
		Sensitivity/ accuracy	≤ QLs (WS#15)	Field rinsate blanks/ Method blanks/ DV and DQA	S & A

### Note:

- 1. DESA or CLP laboratories will perform the analysis, and meet the measurement performance and QC criteria in their SOP or Statement of Work.
- 2. MS/MSD analysis is not required for EPA CLP Region 2 analysis.



Revision: 0 July 9, 2010

Page 14 of 55

# QAPP Worksheet #12-i Measurement Performance Criteria Table

Matrix	Sediment
Analytical Group	Metals
Concentration Level	Low

Sampling Procedure	Analytical Method/ SOP	Data Quality Indicators (DQIs)	Measurement Performance Criteria <sup>1</sup> (MPC)	QC Sample and/or Activity Used to Assess Measurement Performance	QC Sample Assesses Error for Sampling (S), Analytical (A) or Both (S&A)
CPG Group's SOP, and QAPP	SW-846 Method	Precision	RPD ≤ 40% if concentration ≥5 CRQL	Split samples and field duplicates	S & A
CDM will accept split	6010B and 6020 /ILM05.4	Precision	RPD ≤ 35% if concentration ≥5 CRQL – DESA limit: 25% RPD	Laboratory duplicate	А
	ICP-AES and ICP-MS	Accuracy/Bias	90-110 %recovery 75-125 %recovery	LCS; MS/MSD	Α
		Accuracy/ Representativeness	4±2 degrees Celsius 10 degrees Celsius (DV)	Temperature Blank checks Data validation (DV)	S & A
		Comparability	Comparable units, and methods	Evaluated during Data Quality assessment	S & A
		Completeness	≥ 90% Collection and ≥ 90% Valid data	Evaluated during Data Quality Assessment	S & A
		Sensitivity/ accuracy	≤ CRQLs (WS#15)	Field rinsate blanks/ Method blanks/ DV and DQA	S & A

#### Note:

1. The assigned laboratory will perform and meet all the measurement performance criteria that assess the analytical DQIs as specified in the applicable laboratory SOP.



Revision: 0 July 9, 2010

Page 15 of 55

### QAPP Worksheet #12-j **Measurement Performance Criteria Table**

Matrix	Sediment
Analytical Group	Methyl mercury
Concentration Level	Low

Sampling Procedure	Analytical Method/ SOP	Data Quality Indicators (DQIs)	Measurement Performance Criteria <sup>1</sup> (MPC)	QC Sample and/or Activity Used to Assess Measurement Performance	QC Sample Assesses Error for Sampling (S), Analytical (A) or Both (S&A)
CPG Group's SOP, and QAPP	EPA Method – 1630	Precision	RPD ≤ 40% if concentration ≥5 CRQL	Split samples and field duplicates	S & A
	Laboratory TBD	Accuracy	RPD ≤ 35% for values ≥5 MDL. No more than 35% of RSDs >35%	Laboratory duplicate	A
		Accuracy/Bias	65-135 %recovery	MS/MSD	A
		Precision	RPD ≤ 35%	MS/MSD	Α
		Accuracy	67-133%R of certified value	Ongoing Precision and Recovery (Standard Reference Material)	
		Accuracy/ Representativeness	4±2 degrees Celsius 10 degrees Celsius (DV)	Temperature Blank checks Data validation (DV)	S & A
		Comparability	Comparable units, and methods	Evaluated during Data Quality assessment	S & A
		Completeness	≥ 90% Collection and ≥ 90% Valid data	Evaluated during Data Quality Assessment	S & A
		Sensitivity/ accuracy	≤ QLs (WS#15) ≤ 5MDLs	Field rinsate blanks/ Method blanks/ DV and DQA	S & A



Note:

1. The assigned laboratory must perform and meet all the measurement performance criteria that assess the analytical DQIs as specified in USEPA Method 1630.

Revision: 0 July 9, 2010

Page 16 of 55

# QAPP Worksheet #12-k Measurement Performance Criteria Table

Matrix	Sediment
Analytical Group	Total mercury
Concentration Level	Trace (ng/g)

Sampling Procedure	Analytical Method/ SOP	Data Quality Indicators (DQIs)	Measurement Performance Criteria <sup>1</sup> (MPC)	QC Sample and/or Activity Used to Assess Measurement Performance	QC Sample Assesses Error for Sampling (S), Analytical (A) or Both (S&A)
CPG Group's SOP, and QAPP	EPA Method – 1631	Precision	RPD ≤ 40% if concentration ≥5 CRQL	Split samples and field duplicates	
CDM will accept split		Accuracy	RPD ≤ 25% for values ≥10 MDL. No more than 35% of RSDs >25%	Laboratory duplicate	А
		Accuracy/Bias	70-130 %recovery	MS/MSD	A
		Precision	Laboratory SOP or RPD ≤ 30-35%; RSDs <20%	MS/MSD; Initial Precision and Recovery	А
		Accuracy	Laboratory SOP or 70-130%R; 75-125%R	Ongoing Precision and Recovery; Standard Reference Material	
		Accuracy/ Representativeness	4±2 degrees Celsius 10 degrees Celsius (DV)	Temperature Blank checks Data validation (DV)	S & A
		Comparability	Comparable units, and methods	Evaluated during Data Quality assessment	S & A
		Completeness	≥ 90% Collection and ≥ 90% Valid data	Evaluated during Data Quality Assessment	S & A
		Sensitivity/ accuracy	≤ QLs (WS#15) ≤ 5MDLs	Field rinsate blanks/ Method blanks/ DV and DQA	S & A

### Note:

1. The assigned laboratory must perform and meet all the measurement performance criteria that assess the analytical DQIs as specified in USEPA Method 1631. If DESA or CLP performs the analysis, they will meet the measurement performance and QC criteria in their SOP.



Revision: 0 July 9, 2010

Page 17 of 55

# QAPP Worksheet #12-I Measurement Performance Criteria Table

Matrix	Sediment
Analytical Group	TOC
Concentration Level	Low

Sampling Procedure	Analytical Method/ SOP	Data Quality Indicators (DQIs)	Measurement Performance Criteria <sup>1</sup> (MPC)	QC Sample and/or Activity Used to Assess Measurement Performance	QC Sample Assesses Error for Sampling (S), Analytical (A) or Both (S&A)
CPG Group's SOP, and QAPP	EPA Method - Lloyd	Precision	RPD ≤ 40% if concentration ≥5 CRQL	Split samples and field duplicates	S & A
CDM will accept split	Kahn	Accuracy	80-120%	Mid range CCV	A
		Accuracy/Bias	75-125 %recovery	Near detection limit standard	A
		Precision	Laboratory RPD ≤ 20%	Laboratory duplicate	A
		Accuracy/ Representativeness	4±2 degrees Celsius 10 degrees Celsius (DV)	Temperature Blank checks Data validation (DV)	S & A
		Comparability	Comparable units, and methods	Evaluated during Data Quality assessment	S & A
		Completeness	≥ 90% Collection and ≥ 90% Valid data	Evaluated during Data Quality Assessment	S & A
		Sensitivity/ accuracy	≤ QLs (WS#15)	Field rinsate blanks/ Method blanks/ DV and DQA	S & A

#### Note:

1. The assigned laboratory must perform and meet all quality assurance requirements specified in USEPA Method Lloyd Kahn.



Revision: 0

July 9, 2010 Page 18 of 55

# QAPP Worksheet #12-m Measurement Performance Criteria Table

Matrix	Sediment				
Analytical Group	Moisture				
Concentration Level	Low				
Sampling Procedure	Analytical Method/ SOP	Data Quality Indicators (DQIs)	Measurement Performance Criteria <sup>1</sup> (MPC)	QC Sample and/or Activity Used to Assess Measurement Performance	QC Sample Assesses Error for Sampling (S), Analytical (A) or Both (S&A)
CPG Group's SOP, and QAPP	SM 2540G Modified	Precision	≤ 20% RPD	Laboratory duplicate	А

### Note:

CDM will accept split



<sup>1.</sup> The assigned laboratory must perform and meet all quality assurance requirements specified in the method.

### QAPP Worksheet #14 Summary of Project Tasks

#### **Sampling Tasks:**

As part of the LPR Restoration Project, the CPG is implementing an estuarine dynamic and sediment transport, and biological field sampling program to support the RI/FS. On behalf of the USACE and EPA, CDM will provide oversight and will record observations at all locations. The oversight program is designed to provide technical review and evaluation of CPG-implemented field sampling plans. Worksheet #10 discusses the oversight activities that will occur; and Worksheet #11 provides details on the data to be collected. CDM task is to observe and document the sampling conducted during the surveys.

#### **Analysis Tasks:**

Split samples will be collected during oversight of the surface sediment sampling conducted during the summer benthic invertebrate surveys. Oversight forms (Appendix B of the CDM Final QAPP) documenting field observations will be completed by CDM's oversight staff.

**Quality Control Tasks:** CDM will observe CPG's calibration, testing and maintenance of their GPS units. CDM will document observations of the survey on field logs and in the field logbooks. The CDM Deputy Task Order Manager or designee will review the logs to ensure that the required information has been documented.

**Secondary Data:** Since this is an oversight project, no secondary data is being used directly by CDM. Data generated by the CPG - field program will be used as shown on Worksheet #11 of the CPG's QAPP Addendum No. 1: Spring and Summer 2010 Benthic Invertebrate Community Surveys.

#### **Data Management Tasks:**

Observations of the surveys will be documented as described above and undergo QC review. The survey sheets will be maintained in the project file and used to review the CPG's reports. The information can be uploaded to the PREMIS database if required.

No analytical data will be generated.

**Documentation and Records:** Records of observed species will be documented on Appendix B – CDM Final QAPP. All field activity and deviations will be documented on the survey sheets and additional information in project logbooks.

The Benthic Invertebrate Community Survey procedures are documented in Attachment D of the CPG's Benthic QAPP and Worksheet # 11 of the CPG's Benthic QAPP Addendum No. 1: Spring and Summer 2010 Benthic Invertebrate Community Surveys. Oversight observations will be documented in the following:

- 1. Field logs/logbooks
- 2. Data Validation reports
- 3. COCs, ANSETS, and Trip Report



PWCM/Generic QAPP Final Addendum No. 4
Surface Sediment Sampling co-located with Small Forage Range Fish Tissue Samples
Revision: 0
July 9, 2010
Page 20 of 55

### QAPP Worksheet #14 Summary of Project Tasks

- 4. Oversight summary report
- 5. Data Quality and Usability Summary Report

All procedures will be documented in accordance with TSOP 4-1 provided in Appendix C of the CDM Final QAPP.

Assessment/Audit Tasks: See CDM Final QAPP for assessment tasks (CDM 2009)

**Data Review Tasks**: The CPG Benthic Invertebrate Community Surveys Data Report will be reviewed by CDM. A data quality evaluation will be performed based on the CPG compliance with the approved QAPP. A comparison of CDM's field staff observations and surface sediment sample results with the CPG data report will be included in the data quality evaluation and submitted to the USACE.



Revision: 0 July 9, 2010

Page 21 of 55

# QAPP Worksheet #15-d Reference Limits and Evaluation Table

Matrix: Sediment

**Analytical Group:** PCB Congeners by CBC01.2 or 1668A **Concentration Level:** Low (picogram per gram [pg/g])

Analyte	_		Project Project Action Limit Quantitation Limit		alytical Method <sup>3</sup>	Method 1668A Achievable Laboratory Limits <sup>4, 5</sup>	
			Goal (PQLG) <sup>2</sup>	MDLs	Method CRQLs	MDLs	QLs
All individual Congeners PCB-1 through PCB-209	List is provided in method	TBD	Equal to the CPG's laboratory achievable QLs for each congener	NA	2.0 ng/kg	See summary in method	0.1 to 0.2 pg/g

#### Notes:

- 1. Project-specific screening levels have not been developed and approved by the EPA for this project. Data Quality Levels (DQLs) for individual PCB Congeners are listed for the CPG RI/FS QAPP in the *Final Surface Sediment Chemical Analyses and Benthic Invertebrate Toxicity and Bioaccumulation Testing QAPP*, October 2009. A data quality level of 0.0227 mg/kg has been set by the CPG for PCB congeners.
- 2. The listed PQLGs are from the CPG's QAPP and are based on their laboratory's Quantitation Limits.
- 3. Method does not include MDLs which will be determined by the selected laboratory.
- 4. Achievable QLs listed are based on typical Axys Analytical Services laboratory detection limits expected to range from 0.1 to 2.0 pg/g, with exceptions (particularly co-eluting congeners). The assigned laboratory will report PCB congeners to sample specific detection limits, which may be different depending upon the samples. The achievable QLs shown above are generally below the PQLGs listed in the CPG RI/FS QAPP, so that split sample data should be suitable for comparison. Laboratory results will be reported in dry weight.
- 5. Actual QLs may be higher and are dependent on the sample moisture content and matrix effects.



Revision: 0 July 9, 2010

Page 22 of 55

# QAPP Worksheet #15-e Reference Limits and Evaluation Table

Matrix: Sediment

Analytical Group: PCDD/PCDF by EPA 1613B

Concentration Level: Low (ng/kg = nanogram per kilogram)

Analyte	CAS	Project	Data	Project Quantitation	EPA DLM0.2	Analytical Method <sup>3</sup>	Method 1613B Achievable Laboratory Limits		
	Number	Action Limit <sup>1</sup>	Quality Levels <sup>1</sup>	Limit Goals (PQLGs) <sup>2</sup> (ng/kg)	MDLs (ng/kg) <sup>3</sup>	Method QLs <sup>3</sup> (ng/kg)	MDLs (ng/kg) <sup>4</sup>	QLs (ng/kg) <sup>4</sup>	
2378-TCDD	1746-01-6	TBD	0.12	0.49	NA	1.0	0.031	0.05	
12378-PeCDD	40321-76-4	TBD	4.5	0.76	NA	5.0	0.13	0.10	
123678-HxCDD	57653-85-7	TBD	45	1.35	NA	5.0	0.19	0.10	
123478-HxCDD	39227-28-6	TBD	45	1.43	NA	5.0	0.18	0.10	
123789-HxCDD	19408-74-3	TBD	45	1.49	NA	5.0	0.17	0.10	
1234678-HpCDD	35822-46-9	TBD	450	5.79	NA	5.0	0.17	0.10	
OCDD	3268-87-9	TBD	15,000	2.74	NA	10	0.83	0.5	
2378-TCDF	51207-31-9	TBD	45	0.37	NA	1.0	0.015	0.05	
12378-PeCDF	57117-41-6	TBD	150	0.74	NA	5.0	0.095	0.10	
23478-PeCDF	57117-31-4	TBD	15	0.63	NA	5.0	0.096	0.10	
123678-HxCDF	57117-44-9	TBD	45	0.7	NA	5.0	0.091	0.10	
123789-HxCDF	72918-21-9	TBD	45	0.81	NA	5.0	0.12	0.10	
123478-HxCDF	70648-26-9	TBD	45	0.7	NA	5.0	0.093	0.10	
234678-HxCDF	60851-34-5	TBD	45	0.75	NA	5.0	0.12	0.10	
1234678-HpCDF	67562-39-4	TBD	450	1.77	NA	5.0	0.099	0.10	
1234789-HpCDF	55673-89-7	TBD	450	2.43	NA	5.0	0.088	0.10	
OCDF	39001-02-0	TBD	15,000	2.13	NA	10	0.28	0.50	

#### Notes:

- 1. Project-specific action levels have not been developed. Data Quality Levels (DQLs) for the individual PCDD/PCDF Congeners listed are from the CPG RI/FS QAPP, Final Surface Sediment Chemical Analyses and Benthic Invertebrate Toxicity and Bioaccumulation Testing QAPP, October 2009. The split sample data should be low enough for data comparison. Differences in laboratory detection limits will be considered when comparing the data.
- 2. The PQLGs listed are from the CPG's QAPP and are based on their laboratory's Quantitation Limits.
- 3. Specific MDLs for solids are not given in USEPA Method 1613B, but the QLs listed are the minimum levels published in Table 2 of USEPA Method 1613B and CLP method DLM0.2, Exhibit C, Section 1. The actual detection limits are usually dependent on the level of interference rather than instrument limitations.
- 4. The MDLs listed are the statistically-derived MDLs. These MDLs and QLs listed were obtained from Axys Analytical Services.



Revision: 0 July 9, 2010

Page 23 of 55

# QAPP Worksheet #15-f Reference Limits and Evaluation Table

Matrix: Sediment

Analytical Group: Chlorinated Pesticides by EPA 1613B Modified

Concentration Level: Low (ng/g) (µg/kg)

	CAS Project		Data Quality	Project	SOM01.2 A	Analytical Method	Achievable Laboratory Limits <sup>3</sup>		
Analyte	Number	Action Levels <sup>1</sup>		Quantitation Limit Goal (PQLG) <sup>2</sup>	MDLs	Method CRQLs	MDLs	QLs	
2,4'-DDD	53-19-0	TBD	2,000	0.1	NA	Not on CLP list	0.008	0.02	
2,4'-DDE	3424-82-6	TBD	1,420	0.1	NA	Not on CLP list	0.006	0.02	
2,4'-DDT	789-02-6	TBD	1,000	0.1	NA	Not on CLP list	0.008	0.02	
4,4,DDD	72-54-8	TBD	1,000	0.1	NA	3.3	0.008	0.02	
4,4,DDE	72-55-9	TBD	1,420	0.1	NA	3.3	0.009	0.02	
4,4,DDT	50-29-3	TBD	1,000	0.1	NA	3.3	0.010	0.02	
Aldrin	309-00-2	TBD	2,000	0.1	NA	1.7	0.079	0.02	
alpha-BHC	319-84-6	TBD	940	0.1	NA	1.7	0.027	0.02	
beta-BHC	319-85-7	TBD	940	0.1	NA	1.7	0.015	0.02	
cis-Chlordane (alpha Chlordane)	5103-71-9	TBD	20	0.1	NA	1.7	0.024	0.02	
cis-Nonachlor	5103-73-1	TBD	200,000	0.1	NA	Not on CLP list	0.027	0.02	
delta-BHC	319-86-8	TBD	940	0.1	NA	1.7	0.007	0.02	
Dieldrin	60-57-1	TBD	20	0.1	NA	3.3	0.019	0.05	
Endosulfan I	959-98-8	TBD	37,000,000	0.1	NA	3.3	0.029	0.05	
Endosulfan II	33213-65-9	TBD	37,000,000	0.1	NA	3.3	0.057	0.05	
Endosulfan sulfate	1031-07-8	TBD	37,000,000	0.1	NA	3.3	0.052	0.05	
Endrin	72-20-8	TBD	2,220	0.1	NA	3.3	0.031	0.05	
Endrin Aldehyde	7421-93-4	TBD	2,670	0.1	NA	3.3	0.027	0.05	
Endrin ketone	53494-70-5	TBD	2,670	0.1	NA	3.3	0.029	0.05	
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	58-89-9	TBD	940	0.1	NA	1.7	0.012	0.02	
Hexachorobenzene	118-74-1	TBD	2,000	0.1	NA	On SVOC list	0.009	0.02	
Heptachlor	76-44-8	TBD	300	0.1	NA	1.7	0.024	0.02	
Heptachlor Epoxide	1024-57-3	TBD	600	0.1	NA	1.7	0.014	0.05	
Methoxychlor	72-43-5	TBD	6,000	0.1	NA	17	0.005	0.10	
Toxaphene	8001-35-2	TBD	Not on CPG	list of analytes	NA	170	NA	NA	
Oxychlordane	27304-13-8	TBD	200,000	0.1	NA	Not on CLP list	0.043	0.02	
Trans-Chlordane (gamma Chlordane)	5103-74-2	TBD	20	0.1	NA	1.7	0.015	0.02	
Trans-Nonachlor	3734-49-4	TBD	200,000	0.1	NA	NS	0.021	0.02	

Notes: 1. Project-specific action levels have not been developed. Data Quality Levels (DQLs) listed are from the CPG RI/FS QAPP, Final Surface Sediment Chemical Analyses and Benthic Invertebrate Toxicity and Bioaccumulation Testing QAPP, October 2009. The split sample data should be low enough for data comparison. Differences in laboratory limits will be considered in data comparison. 2. The listed PQLGs are from the CPG's QAPP and are based on their laboratory's Quantitation Limits. 3. MDLs are statistically-derived; these limits are from Axys Analytical Services. Results will be reported in dry weight. Actual QLs may be higher and are dependent on the sample moisture content and matrix effects.



Revision: 0

July 9, 2010 Page 24 of 55

# QAPP Worksheet #15-g Reference Limits and Evaluation Table

Matrix: Sediment

Analytical Group: PAH by Axys SOP MLA-021

Concentration Level: Low (µg/kg)

Analyte	CAS	Project	Data Quality	Project Quantitation		Analytical Metho	Achievable Laboratory Limits⁴		
Analyte	Number	Action Limit <sup>1</sup>	Levels <sup>1</sup>	Limit Goal <sup>2</sup>	MDLs	SOM01.2 CRQLs	8270 QLs	MDLs	QLs
1-Methylnaphthalene	90-12-0	TBD	22,000	1.0	NA	Not Listed	Not Listed	NA	1.0
1-Methylphenanthrene	832-69-9	TBD	1,700,000	1.0	NA	Not Listed	Not Listed	0.28	1.0
2,3,5-Trimethylnaphthalene	2245-38-7	TBD	3,900	1.0	NA	Not Listed	Not Listed	0.60	1.0
2,6-Dimethylnaphthalene	581-42-0	TBD	3,900	1.0	NA	Not Listed	Not Listed	0.25	1.0
2-Methylnaphthalene	91-57-6	TBD	20.2	1.0	NA	170	660	0.29	1.0
Acenaphthene	83-32-9	TBD	6.71	1.0	NA	170	660	0.16	0.5
Acenaphthylene	208-96-8	TBD	5.87	1.0	NA	170	660	0.20	0.5
Anthracene	120-12-7	TBD	46.9	1.0	NA	170	660	0.41	0.5
Benzo[a]anthracene	56-55-3	TBD	31.7	1.0	NA	170	660	0.18	0.5
Benzo[a]pyrene	50-32-8	TBD	15.0	1.0	NA	170	660	0.14	0.5
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	205-99-2	TBD	150.0	1.0	NA	170	660	0.61	
Benzo[e]pyrene	192-97-2	TBD	170,000	1.0	NA	Not Listed	Not Listed	0.17	0.5
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	191-24-2	TBD	170.0	1.0	NA	170	660	0.21	1.0
Benzo[j]fluoranthene	205-82-3	TBD	240 (for k)	1.0	NA	Not Listed	Not Listed	0.10	0.5
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	207-08-9	TBD	240 (for k)	1.0	NA	170	660	0.10	0.5
Chrysene	218-01-9	TBD	57.1	1.0	NA	170	660	0.20	0.5
Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene	53-70-3	TBD	6.22	1.0	NA	170	660	0.23	1.0
Dibenzothiophene	135-65-0	TBD	NA	1.0	NA	Not Listed	Not Listed	0.23	1.0
Fluoranthene	206-44-0	TBD	111.0	1.0	NA	170	660	0.17	0.5
Fluorene	86-73-7	TBD	19.0	1.0	NA	170	660	0.17	0.5
Indeno[1,2,3-c,d]-pyrene	193-39-5	TBD	150.0	1.0	NA	170	660	0.17	1.0
Naphthalene	91-20-3	TBD	34.6	1.0	NA	170	660	1.55	0.5
Perylene	198-55-0	TBD	170,000	1.0	NA	Not Listed	Not listed	0.18	1.0
Phenanthrene	85-01-8	TBD	41.9	1.0	NA	170	660	0.13	0.5
Pyrene	129-00-0	TBD	53.0	1.0	NA	170	660	0.18	0.5

#### Notes:

- 1. Project-specific action levels have not been developed. The listed Data Quality Levels (DQLs) are taken from the CPG RI/FS QAPP, Final Surface Sediment Chemical Analyses and Benthic Invertebrate Toxicity and Bioaccumulation Testing, October 2009, Revision 0.
- 2. The PQLGs reported are from the CPG's QAPP and are based on their laboratory's Quantitation Limits. The split sample data should be low enough for data comparison; lower limits than those listed in methods 8270 and SOM01.2 will be required. Differences in laboratory detection limits will be considered when comparing the data.
- 3. Specific MDLs are not given in the listed methods.
- 4. Achievable MDLs listed are the statistically-derived MDLs. These MDLs and QLs are based on Axys Analytical Service typical sample specific detection limits. Results will be reported in dry weight. Actual QLs may be higher and are dependent on the sample moisture content and matrix effects. MDLs and QLs are limits that an individual laboratory can achieve when performing the analytical method.



# QAPP Worksheet #15-h Reference Limits and Evaluation Table

Matrix: Sediment

Analytical Group: SVOCs by EPA SOM01.2 or SW-846, 8270C/D

Concentration Level: Low (mg/kg)

Analyte	CAS	A -4!	Project Quantitation		Analytical Me	Achievable DESA Laboratory Limits		
•	Number	Limit <sup>1</sup>	Limit Goal <sup>2</sup>	MDLs	8270 CRQLs <sup>3</sup>	SOM01.2 CRQLs	MDLs 4	QLs⁴
1,1'-Biphenyl	92-52-4	262	0.4	NA	NA	0.17	0.017	120
2,2'-Oxybis (1-Chloropropane)	108-60-1	3.50	0.4	NA	0.66	0.17	0.017	NL
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol <sup>5</sup>	95-95-4	0.003	0.4	NA	0.66	0.17	0.036	0.12
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol <sup>5</sup>	88-06-2	0.006	0.4	NA	0.66	0.17	0.044	0.12
2,4-Dichlorophenol <sup>5</sup>	120-83-2	0.005	0.8	NA	0.66	0.17	0.041	0.12
2,4-Dimethylphenol <sup>5</sup>	105-67-9	0.304	0.4	NA	0.66	0.17	0.041	0.12
2,4-Dinitrophenol <sup>5</sup>	51-28-5	0.00621	1.6	NA	3.3	0.33	0.360	0.12
2,4-Dinitrotoluene <sup>5</sup>	121-14-2	0.0144	0.4	NA	0.66	0.17	0.015	0.12
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	606-20-2	0.70	0.4	NA	0.66	0.17	0.015	0.12
2-Chloronaphthalene <sup>5</sup>	91-58-7	0.417	0.4	NA	0.66	0.17	0.015	0.12
2-Chlorophenol <sup>5</sup>	95-57-8	0.008	0.4	NA	0.66	0.17	0.028	0.12
2-Methylnaphthalene <sup>5</sup>	91-57-6	0.0202	0.4	NA	0.66	0.17	0.015	0.12
2-Methylphenol	95-48-7	310	0.4	NA	0.66	0.17	0.036	0.12
2-Nitroaniline	88-74-4	18.0	0.4	NA	3.3	0.33	0.024	0.12
2-Nitrophenol	88-75-5	1,800 <sup>e</sup>	0.4	NA	0.66	0.17	0.035	0.12
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine <sup>5</sup>	91-94-1	0.127	0.4	NA	1.3	0.17	0.058	0.12
3-Nitroaniline	99-09-2	18.0	0.4	NA	3.3	0.33	0.013	0.12
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol <sup>5</sup>	534-52-1	0.610	1.6	NA	3.3	0.17	0.035	0.12
4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	101-55-3	NA <sup>f</sup>	0.4	NA	0.66	0.17	0.022	0.12
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	59-50-7	10,000	0.4	NA	1.3	0.17	0.040	0.12
4-Chloroaniline	106-47-8	2.4	0.4	NA	1.3	0.17	0.014	0.12
4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	7005-72-3	NA <sup>f</sup>	0.4	NA	0.66	0.17	0.022	0.12
4-Methylphenol	106-44-5	31.0	0.4	NA	0.66	0.17	0.045	0.12
4-Nitroaniline	100-01-6	24.0	0.4	NA	NA	0.33	0.021	0.12
4-Nitrophenol <sup>5</sup>	100-02-7	0.0133	0.8	NA	3.3	0.33	0.043	0.12
Acenaphthene <sup>5</sup>	83-32-9	0.0067	0.4	NA	0.66	0.17	0.018	0.12
Acenaphthylene <sup>5</sup>	208-96-8	0.0059	0.4	NA	0.66	0.17	0.014	0.12
Acetophenone	98-86-2	2.00	0.4	NA	NA	0.17	0.016	0.12
Anthracene <sup>5</sup>	120-12-7	0.0469	0.4	NA	0.66	0.17	0.014	0.12
Atrazine	1912-24-9	2.10	0.4	NA	NA	0.17	0.021	0.12
Benzaldehyde	100-52-7	780	0.4	NA	NA	0.17	0.097	0.12
Benzo(a)anthracene <sup>5</sup>	56-55-3	0.0317	0.4	NA	0.66	0.17	0.020	0.12



Revision: 0 July 9, 2010

Page 26 of 55

# QAPP Worksheet #15-h Reference Limits and Evaluation Table

Matrix: Sediment

Analytical Group: SVOCs by EPA SOM01.2 or SW-846, 8270C/D

Concentration Level: Low (mg/kg)

Analyte	CAS	Project Action	Project Quantitation		Analytical Me	Achievable DESA Laboratory Limits		
•	Number	Limit <sup>1</sup>	Limit Goal <sup>2</sup>	MDLs	8270 CRQLs <sup>3</sup>	SOM01.2 CRQLs	MDLs 4	QLs <sup>4</sup>
Benzo(a)pyrene <sup>5</sup>	50-32-8	0.015	0.4	NA	0.66	0.17	0.014	0.12
Benzo(b)fluoranthene <sup>5</sup>	205-99-2	0.150	0.4	NA	0.66	0.17	0.018	0.12
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene <sup>5</sup>	191-24-2	0.170	0.4	NA	0.66	0.17	0.016	0.12
Benzo(k)fluoranthene⁵	207-08-9	0.240	0.4	NA	0.66	0.17	0.017	0.12
bis-(2-Chloroethoxy)methane	111-91-1	18.0	0.4	NA	0.66	0.17	0.017	0.12
bis-(2-Chloroethyl)ether <sup>5</sup>	111-44-4	0.190	0.4	NA	0.66	0.17	0.016	0.12
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate 5	117-81-7	0.182	0.4	NA	0.66	0.17	0.017	0.12
Butylbenzylphthalate 5	85-68-7	0.063	0.4	NA	0.66	0.17	0.017	0.12
Caprolactam	105-60-2	3,100	0.4	NA	NA	0.17	0.027	0.12
Carbazole	86-74-8	24.0	0.4	NA	NA	0.17	0.014	0.12
Chrysene <sup>5</sup>	218-01-9	0.0571	0.4	NA	0.66	0.17	0.015	0.12
Dibenzo(a,h)-anthracene <sup>5</sup>	53-70-3	0.00622	0.4	NA	0.66	0.17	0.016	0.12
Dibenzofuran	132-64-9	NA	0.4	NA	0.66	0.17	0.016	0.12
Diethylphthalate <sup>5</sup>	84-66-2	0.006	0.4	NA	0.66	0.17	0.015	0.12
Dimethylphthalate	131-11-3	46.0	0.4	NA	0.66	0.17	0.016	0.12
Di-n-butylphthalate 5	84-74-2	0.058	0.4	NA	NA	0.17	0.021	0.12
Di-n-octylphthalate	117-84-0	46.0	0.4	NA	0.66	0.17	0.015	0.12
Fluoranthene <sup>5</sup>	206-44-0	0.111	0.4	NA	0.66	0.17	0.015	0.12
Fluorene <sup>5</sup>	86-73-7	0.0190	0.4	NA	0.66	0.17	0.016	0.12
Hexachlorobenzene 5	118-74-1	0.00200	0.4	NA	0.66	0.17	0.019	0.12
Hexachlorobutadiene 5	87-68-3	0.0013	0.4	NA	0.66	0.17	0.016	0.12
Hexachloroethane <sup>5</sup>	67-72-1	0.073	0.4	NA	0.66	0.17	0.022	0.12
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene <sup>5</sup>	77-47-4	0.0070	0.4	NA	0.66	0.17	0.031	0.12
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)-pyrene <sup>5</sup>	193-39-5	0.150	0.4	NA	0.66	0.17	0.012	0.12
Isophorone <sup>5</sup>	78-59-1	0.432	0.4	NA	0.66	0.17	0.029	0.12
Naphthalene <sup>5</sup>	91-20-3	0.0346	0.4	NA	0.66	0.17	0.013	0.12
Nitrobenzene <sup>5</sup>	98-95-3	0.145	0.4	NA	0.66	0.17	0.015	0.12
n-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine 5	621-64-7	0.0690	0.4	NA	0.66	0.17	0.021	0.12
n-Nitrosodiphenylamine	86-30-6	99.0	0.4	NA	0.66	0.17	0.022	0.12
Pentachlorophenol <sup>5</sup>	87-86-5	0.017	0.4	NA	3.3	0.33	0.043	0.12
Phenanthrene <sup>5</sup>	85-01-8	0.0419	0.4	NA	0.66	0.17	0.013	0.12
Phenol <sup>5</sup>	108-95-2	0.0491	0.4	NA	0.66	0.17	0.025	0.12
Pyrene <sup>5</sup>	129-00-0	0.0530	0.4	NA	0.66	0.17	0.015	0.12



PWCM/Generic QAPP Final Addendum No. 4

Surface Sediment Sampling co-located with Small Forage Range Fish Tissue Samples

Revision: 0 July 9, 2010

Page 27 of 55

- 1. At this time, project-specific screening levels or action levels have not been developed. The values listed are the Data Quality Levels (DQLs) taken from the CPG RI/FS QAPP, Final Surface Sediment Chemical Analyses and Benthic Invertebrate Toxicity and Bioaccumulation Testing, October 2009, Revision 0. The split sample data QLs should be low enough for data comparison. Differences in laboratory detection limits will be considered when comparing the data.
- 2. The PQLGs reported are from the CPG's QAPP and are based on their laboratory's Quantitation Limits.
- 3. Specific MDLs are not given in the listed methods.
- 4. Achievable MDLs and QLs are limits that an individual laboratory can achieve when performing the analytical method. Actual MDLs and QLs will vary based on sample-specific factors. The QLs listed are based on detection limits achieved by the DESA Laboratories.
- 5. The project action limit for highlighted cells are below the method 8270 quantitation limits and/or the SOM01.2 as shown above. The laboratory requester should ensure that the laboratory is provided with the PALs and project quantitation limit goals to attempt to achieve the sensitivity requirements above and to match the CPG's laboratory limits.

#### Additional note:

Analyte may also be reported from the lower limits GC/MS method for PAH analysis; the PAH method results will take precedence over SOM01.2/SW846 Method 8270C results. The analytes 1-methylnaphthalene, 1-methylphenanthrene, 2,3,5-trimethylnaphthalene, 2,6-dimethylnaphthalene, benzo(e)pyrene, dibenzothiophene, and perylene, will be reported by the PAH method only.



Revision: 0 July 9, 2010

Page 28 of 55

## QAPP Worksheet #15-i Reference Limits and Evaluation Table

Matrix: Sediment

Analytical Group: Metals SW-846, 6010B/6020 or CLP ILM05.4

Concentration Level: Low (mg/kg)

	CAS	Project	Project		Analytical Meth	od⁵	Achievable Di	ESA Limits⁴
Analyte	Number	Action Limit <sup>1</sup>	Quantitation Limit Goal (PQLG) <sup>2</sup>	MDLs <sup>3</sup>	Subcontractor Method QLs	CLP Method CRQLs	MDLs	QLs <sup>4, 5</sup>
Aluminum	7429-90-5	7,700	2	NA	TBD	20	Not available	100
Antimony	7440-36-0	2.0	0.05	NA	TBD	6	0.22	2
Arsenic	7440-38-2	0.39	0.5	NA	TBD	1	0.35	8.0
Barium	7440-39-3	1,500	0.05	NA	TBD	20	0.24	10
Beryllium	7440-41-7	16	0.02	NA	TBD	0.5	0.02	0.3
Cadmium	7440-43-9	0.6	0.02	NA	TBD	0.5	0.02	0.3
Calcium	7440-70-2	NA	10	NA	TBD	500	12.57	50
Chromium	7440-47-3	26	1.0	NA	TBD	1	0.34	0.5
Cobalt	7440-48-4	2.3	0.02	NA	TBD	5	0.03	2
Copper	7440-50-8	16	0.1	NA	TBD	2.5	0.26	1
Iron	7439-89-6	5,500	2	NA	TBD	10	Not available	5
Lead	7439-92-1	31	0.02	NA	TBD	1	0.23	0.8
Magnesium	7439-95-4	NA	3	NA	TBD	500	5.06	50
Manganese	7439-96-5	260	0.05	NA	TBD	1.5	0.33	0.5
Nickel	7440-02-0	16	0.2	NA	TBD	4	0.09	2
Potassium	7440-09-7	NA	30	NA	TBD	500	12.36	50
Selenium	7782-49-2	1.0	0.1	NA	TBD	3.5	0.22	2
Silver	7440-22-4	0.5	0.02	NA	TBD	1	0.06	0.5
Sodium	7440-23-5	NA	60	NA	TBD	500	22.48	100
Thallium	7440-28-0	0.51	0.02	NA	TBD	2.5	3.14	2
Titanium	7440-28-0	100,000	0.2	NA	TBD	2 (MA1486.0)	Not listed	Not listed
Vanadium	7440-62-2	38.1	0.6	NA	TBD	5	0.4	2
Zinc	7440-66-6	120	0.5	NA	TBD	6	1.57	2

- 1. At this time, project-specific screening levels or action levels have not been developed.
- 2. The PQLGs listed are the Data Quality Levels (DQLs) taken from the CPG RI/FS QAPP, Final Surface Sediment Chemical Analyses and Benthic Invertebrate Toxicity and Bioaccumulation Testing, October 2009, Revision 0. The split sample data should be low enough for data comparison. Differences in laboratory detection limits will be considered when comparing the data.
- 3. Method MDLs are not specified.
- 4. The achievable QLs listed are for DESA Laboratories by ICP-AES. The assigned laboratory may need to also employ ICP-MS; the detection limits will differ. CDM will request modified analyses to achieve limits similar to the CPG's laboratory.
- 5. Laboratory results will be reported in dry weight. Actual QLs may be higher and are dependent on the sample moisture content and matrix effects.



Revision: 0 July 9, 2010

Page 29 of 55

## QAPP Worksheet #15-j Reference Limits and Evaluation Table

Matrix: Sediment

Analytical Group: Mercury by EPA 1630/1631

Concentration Level: Low (ng/g)

CAS		Project Action		Analytical Method			Achievable Laboratory Limits	
Analyte	Number	Limit <sup>1</sup>	Limit Goal (PQLG) <sup>2</sup>	MDLs 3	Method QLs	ILM05.4 CLP Method CRQLs	MDLs	QLs
Mercury	7439-97-6	150 ng/g	0.15	NA	See method EPA 1631	0.1/10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.04	1
Methyl mercury	22967-92-6	150 ng/g	0.025	NA	See method EPA 1630	NA	0.02	0.05

- 1. At this time, project-specific screening levels or action levels have not been developed.
- 2. The PQLGs listed are the Data Quality Levels (DQLs) taken from the CPG RI/FS QAPP, Final Surface Sediment Chemical Analyses and Benthic Invertebrate Toxicity and Bioaccumulation Testing, October 2009, Revision 0. The split sample data should be low enough for data comparison. Differences in laboratory detection limits will be considered when comparing the data based on the laboratory achievable quantitation limits and should be adequate for data comparison.
- Method MDLs are not specified.
- 4. The achievable QLs listed are for Accutest Laboratories as an example. The subcontract laboratory has not been determined at this time. The assigned laboratory detection limits will differ.
- 5. Laboratory results will be reported in dry weight. Actual QLs may be higher and are dependent on the sample moisture content and matrix effects.



Revision: 0

July 9, 2010 Page 30 of 55

## QAPP Worksheet #15-k Reference Limits and Evaluation Table

Matrix: Sediment

Analytical Group: TOC by Lloyd Kahn Method

Concentration Level: Low (mg/kg)

		Project	Project	Analyti	cal Method	Achievable Laboratory Limits <sup>3,</sup>	
Analyte	CAS Number Action Limit 1 Quantitation Limit Goal (PQLG) 2		MDLs (mg/kg)	Method QLs (mg/kg)	MDLs (mg/kg)	QLs (mg/kg)	
TOC	D3590-89-02	Not Available	0.01%	Not listed	100	25.15	100
Moisture	2540G Modified	Not Available	Not applicable	NA	Not applicable	Not Available	Not Available

- 1. At this time, there are no project-specific screening levels or action levels approved by the EPA for this parameter for this project. Differences in laboratory detection limits will be considered when comparing the data.
- 2. The target PQLG listed is based on the laboratory's achievable QL.
- 3. The QL and MDL are based on Accutest Laboratories data as an example. The laboratory's QL is anticipated to be low enough to allow comparison of the split sample data to the CPG data. If another laboratory were assigned to perform this test their detection limits may differ.
- 4. Laboratory results will be reported in dry weight. Actual QLs may be higher and are dependent on the sample moisture content and matrix effects.



# QAPP Worksheet #16 Project Schedule Timeline Table

Activities	Organization	Anticipated Date(s) of Initiation	Anticipated Date of Completion	Deliverable	Deliverable Due Date
Prepare and submit: Oversight QAPP Addendum for Surface Sediment Sampling to EPA and USACE	CDM	June 4, 2010	June 24, 2010	UFP-QAPP addendum	June 2010
Prepare and submit: Revised oversight QAPP Addendum for Surface Sediment Sampling	CDM	As soon as comments are received	July 9, 2010	UFP-QAPP addendum	July 9, 2010
Oversight/ acceptance of splits and sample handling activities	CDM	Mid July 2010 - TBD	10 days after commencement date	Summary report of field notes including photographs	To be determined
Laboratory Analysis	EPA CLP/ DESA and/subcontract laboratories	July through August 2010	To be determined; data collection will be dependent on the CPG schedule	Data Package	To be determined; will be dependent on the CPG schedule  For standard analyses, 21 days after the last sample is received; however, specialized analyses may take additional time
Validation and verification of sample data	CDM	August 2010	August 2010	Validated data report	To be determined; will be dependent on CPG schedule
Oversight /Data Evaluation	CDM	To be determined	To be determined	Oversight Summary Report/ Data Quality Summary Report	To be determined
Review Surface Sediment Sampling Data Report	CDM	90 days after each survey event	1 month after receipt of report	Comments on Benthic Invertebrate Community Survey Data Report	1 month after receipt of report



PWCM/Generic QAPP Final Addendum No. 4 Surface Sediment Sampling co-located with Small Forage Range Fish Tissue Samples Revision: 0 July 9, 2010 Page 32 of 55

## QAPP Worksheet #18 Sampling Locations and Methods/SOP Requirements Table

Survey Location ID	Depth	Analytical Group	Concentration Level	Estimated Number of Samples (identify field duplicates)	Sampling SOP Reference	Rationale for Sampling Location
Refer to QAPP prepared by Windward Environmental (Windward) for the CPG	Sediment split samples	Analytical group for split samples includes: PCB congeners, PCDD/PCDF, chlorinated pesticides, PAH and SVOC, metals (including mercury, and methylmercury), percent moisture, and TOC	Low	All sampling locations will be observed. Approximately 10 percent of CPG samples will be split.	Attachment D of CPG Benthic QAPP (SOP-Collection and Processing of Sediment Grab Samples) of CPG QAPP (Windward 2009) and Benthic QAPP Addendum (see footnotes)	Sediment split samples will be collected judgmentally by the on-site oversight staff in consultation with the TOM, deputy TOM and USACE/EPA

#### Notes:

Refer to the QAPP prepared by Windward for the CPG (Worksheet # 10 and 18 and Figure 1) titled, *Benthic QAPP Addendum No. 1: Spring and Summer 2010 Benthic Invertebrate Community Surveys* (April 14, 2010) for sampling information.



Revision: 0 July 9, 2010 Page 33 of 55

## QAPP Worksheet #19 Analytical SOP Requirements Table

Matrix <sup>1</sup>	Analytical Group	Concentration	Analytical and Preparation Method/SOP Reference <sup>2</sup>	Sample Volume	Containers (number, size, and type)	Preservation Requirements (chemical, temperature, light protected) <sup>3</sup>	Maximum Holding Time <sup>4</sup> (preparation/ analysis)		
	PCB Congeners	Low	CBC01.2 or EPA 1668A for HRGC/HRMS	10 g minimum	1- 4 oz amber glass jar	Maintain in the dark at less than 4 <sup>c</sup> C from collection until receipt at the laboratory	If stored at less than -10° C solid multiphase samples can be stored for up to one year. Sample extracts can be stored at less than -10 degrees Celsius for up to one year		
	Pesticides	Low	EPA Method 1613B Modified (HRGC/HRMS)	Minimum mass	1-4 oz amber	Maintain in the dark at less than 4 degrees Celsius ( <sup>C</sup> C) from collection until receipt at the laboratory	14 days to extraction, 40 days to analysis at 4° C. Pesticide samples can be stored 299 days if frozen.		
Sediment	PCDD/PCDF Congeners	Low	EPA 1613B for HRGC/HRMS	= 50 g glass jar (combine mass for pesticide, pesticide,		(combine mass   (ship one jar for		Maintain in the dark at less than 4 <sup>c</sup> C from collection until receipt at the laboratory	If stored at less than -10°C, solid multiphase samples can be stored up to one year. Sample extracts can be stored at less than -10°C for up to one year
	PAH	Low	Axys SOP MLA-021	and PAH)	and PAH)	Maintain in the dark at less than 4 °C from collection until receipt at the laboratory	14 days to extraction, 40 days to analysis at 4°C (For this study PAH samples can be stored 199 days if frozen.)		
	Percent Moisture	Low	SM2540G Modified (Axys SOP EGN007-07)	Minimum mass = 5-10 g	1- 4 oz amber	Cool to 4 <sup>c</sup> C ± 2 <sup>c</sup> C	Analyze as soon as possible		
	TCL SVOC	Low	SOM01.2 or SW846 Method 8270C	Minimum mass= 30 grams	1- 8 oz glass jar	Cool to 4 °C ± 2 °C	14 days to extraction; 40 days to analysis at 4 <sup>C</sup> C		
Sediment	Metals	Low	SW846 Method 6010B/6020 or ILM05.4	Minimum mass = 2 g	(ship one jar for SVOC, metals, TOC, and percent	Cool to 4 <sup>c</sup> C ± 2 <sup>c</sup> C	6 months		
	тос	Low	Lloyd Kahn	Minimum mass = 1 g	moisture		14 days		
Sediment	Total mercury and methyl mercury	Low	EPA 1630/1631	Minimum mass = 1 g	1- 4 oz pre-tared polyethylene bottle	Cool to 4 °C ± 2 °C and freeze as soon as possible	1 year [ if aliquoted, weighed and frozen at <-15 °C]		

- 1: Sediment matrix refers to sediment split sample analyzed for sediment chemical concentration.
- 2: DESA and CLP Laboratory SOPs are not available. The Axys laboratory SOPs are available upon request. A backup CDM analytical subcontract laboratory for TOC, mercury and methyl mercury is TBD.
- 3. The actual jar size may vary depending on the need of the assigned laboratory. The sampler should confirm sample volumes with the laboratory prior to mobilizing to the field.



## QAPP Worksheet #20 Field Quality Control Sample Summary Table

Matrix	Analytical Group	Concentra- tion Level	Analytical and Preparation SOP Reference	No. of Split Sampling Locations	No. of Field Duplicate Pairs	No. of Extra Volume Laboratory QC (e.g., MS/MSD) Samples	No. of Equipment Blanks	No. of Trip. Blanks	No of PE Samples	Total No. of Samples
Sediment	PCB congeners	Low	CBC01.2 or EPA Method 1668A for HRGC/HRMS	Sample locations and number of samples to be determined (TBD)	1 per 20 or less (total of 1 duplicate)	1 per 20 or less (total of 1 sample)	0	0	0	TBD
Sediment	PCDD/PCDF congeners	Low	EPA Method 1613B for HRGC/HRMS Axys SOP MSU-020	TBD	1 per 20 or less (total of 1 duplicate)	1 per 20 or less (total of 1 sample)	0	0	0	TBD
Sediment	Chlorinated Pesticides	Low	EPA Method 1613B Modified (HRGC/HRMS) Axys SOP MLA-028	TBD	1 per 20 or less (total of 1 duplicate)	1 per 20 or less (total of 1 sample)	0	0	0	10% Splits, exact numbers to be determined
Sediment	PAHs	Low	Axys SOP MLA-021	TBD	1 per 20 or less (total of 1 duplicate)	1 per 20 or less (total of 1 sample)	0	0	0	TBD
Sediment	TCL SVOCs	Low	SOM01.2 or SW846 Method 8270C	TBD	1 per 20 or less (total of 1 duplicate)	1 per 20 or less (total of 1 sample)	0	0	0	TBD
Sediment	TAL metals	Low	ILM05.4/SW846 Method 6010B/6020	TBD	1 per 20 or less (total of 1 duplicate)	1 per 20 or less (total of 1 sample)	0	0	0	TBD
Sediment	Mercury and methylmercury	Low	EPA Method 1630/1631	TBD	1 per 20 or less (total of 1 duplicate)	1 per 20 or less (total of 1 sample)	0	0	0	TBD
Sediment	тос	Low	EPA Method Lloyd Kahn	TBD	1 per 20 or less (total of 1 duplicate)	1 per 20 or less (total of 1 sample)	0	0	0	TBD
Sediment	Percent moisture	Low	SM2540B	TBD	1 per 20 or less (total of 1 duplicate)	1 per 20 or less (total of 1 sample)	0	0	0	TBD

#### Notes:

The FASTAC decision process will be used for obtaining laboratory services except for chlorinated pesticides, dioxin/furans, PAH, and moisture which will be analyzed by Axys laboratory. The Axys subcontract laboratory will be used due to the difficulty of analyzing the sample matrix for the selected analyses in order to ensure accurate results, to reduce uncertainties in the measurements and to obtain data comparable with data from previous and future surveys.

The exact number of samples to be split will be determined in the field and is based on the CPG fish tissue collection success of small forage range fish sampling during the late spring/early summer 2010 effort.



# QAPP Worksheet #23 Analytical SOP References Table

Reference Number	Title, Revision Date, and/or Number	Definitive or Screening Data	Analytical Group	Instrument	Organization Performing Analysis	Modified for Project Work?
CBC01.2 or EPA Method 1668A for HRGC/HRMS	Analysis of PCB Congeners by EPA Method 1668A. May 2006.	Definitive	PCB Congeners	HRGC/HRMS	EPA Headquarters Laboratory	No
EPA 1613B for HRGC/HRMS Axys SOP: MSU-020	Analysis of Polychlorinated Dioxins and Furans by EPA Method 1613B. June 2005.	Definitive	PCDD/PCDF Congeners	HRGC/HRMS	Axys Analytical Services Laboratory 2045 Mills Road West Sidney, British Columbia, Canada Contact: Candice Navaroli Phone:1-888-373-0881	No
EPA 1613B Modified/ Axys SOP: MLA-028	Analysis of Organochlorine Pesticides by HRGC/HRMS in [Water, Solids, Biosolids and Tissue]. September 2009.	Definitive	Chlorinated Pesticides	HRGC/HRMS	Axys Analytical Services	Analyte list per WS#15
Axys SOP: MLA-021	Analysis of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH) and Alkylated PAH by method MLA-021. February 2009.	Definitive	PAH	HRGC/HRMS	Axys Analytical Services	No
SM2540G Modified	Total, Fixed, and Volatile Solids in Soil and Semisolid Samples. Standard Methods for Examination of Water and Wastewater. 19 <sup>th</sup> Edition 1995.	Definitive	Moisture	Furnace, Balance, Oven	Axys Analytical Services	Yes
SOM01.2	EPA Contract Laboratory Program (CLP) Statement of Work (SOW) for Multi-Media, Multi-Concentration, Organic Analytical Service for Superfund. August 2007.	Definitive	TCL SVOC	GC/MS	CLP	No
C-90 (SOM01.2 or 8270C)	Analysis of Base /Neutral and Acid Compounds in Aqueous, Soil/ Sediment and Waste Oil/Waste Organic Solvent Samples. Rev 2.0, March 2007.		TOL SVOC	GO/IVIS	DESA	No



Revision: 0 July 9, 2010

Page 36 of 55

# QAPP Worksheet #23 Analytical SOP References Table

Reference Number	Title, Revision Date, and/or Number	Definitive or Screening Data	Analytical Group	Instrument	Organization Performing Analysis	Modified for Project Work?
C-109	Determination of Trace Elements in Aqueous Trace Metals in Aqueous, Soil/Sediment/Sludge-ICP-AES. Rev 2.0. March 2007.	Definitive	Metals	ICP-AES	DESA	No
ILM05.4	CLP SOW for Multi-Media, Multi-Concentration Inorganic Analysis. December 2006	Definitive	Metals	ICP-AES ICP-MS	CLP	No
C-112	Trace Metals in Aqueous, Soil/ Sediment/Sludge/Waste Oil/Organic and Biological tissue by Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry. Rev 2.0. March 2007.	Definitive	Metals	ICP-MS	DESA	No
EPA 1631	Total Mercury in Aqueous Samples by Cold Vapor Atomic Fluorescence. March 2009.	Definitive	Total mercury	CVAFS	CDM Subcontract: TBD	No
EPA 1630	Methylmercury in Tissue and Sediment by Cold Vapor Atomic Fluorescence. August 2007.	Definitive	Methyl mercury	CVAFS	CDM Subcontract: TBD	No
C-88	Total Organics in Soil. Rev 1.0. January 2005.				DESA	No
Lloyd Kahn	Determination of TOC in Sediment. July 1998 and Attachment B, Supplemental Technical Direction and Additional QC Procedures	Definitive	тос	Carbon Analyzer	CDM subcontract laboratory TBD	No

#### Notes:

The titles above may change dependent on the assigned laboratories.

1: As necessary, the assigned laboratories will perform additional clean-up of split samples (via gel permeation chromatography) prior to analysis of organic compounds.



July 9, 2010 Page 37 of 55

# QAPP Worksheet #24 Analytical Instrument Calibration Table

Instrument	Calibration Procedure	Frequency of Calibration	Acceptance Criteria	Corrective Action (CA)	Person Responsible for CA	SOP Reference <sup>1</sup>	
TOC Analyzer	Per vendor, instrument manual and laboratory SOP	Check daily	laboratory SOP and Manufacturer's instruction. No samples shall be analyzed if instrument calibration exceeds the acceptance criteria.		Calibration and corrective action as per laboratory SOP and Manufacturer's instruction. No samples shall be analyzed if instrument calibration exceeds the acceptance criteria.		Lloyd Kahn SOP  DESA - C-88  Subcontract Laboratory - TBD
	Initial Calibration and calibration verification check: Per laboratory SOP	After set up, prior to run and after instrument changes or failures of checks.	% RSD and % recovery per laboratory SOPs.	Check, correct; re-calibrate and rerun all samples analyzed after last valid calibration check	Laboratory analyst / QA officer - TBD	Axys SOP for PAH analysis: MLA-021 EPA Lab SOP for PCB	
HRGC/ HRMS and HRGC/LRMS	Calibration checks: CCVs per laboratory SOP	Daily: Beginning of run and after every 10 samples and at end of analytical run	laboratory SOP	Check, correct; re-calibrate and rerun all samples analyzed after last valid cal check	Laboratory analyst / QA officer - TBD	Congeners by CBC01.2 or 1668A - TBD  Axys SOP for Chlorinated pesticides by EPA 1613B Mod: MLA-028  Axys SOP for PCDD/ PCDF by EPA 1613B: MSU-020	
GC/MS for TCL SVOC - SOM01.2 or SW-846 8270C	Initial calibration: 5 points standards	Upon award of the contract, whenever the laboratory takes corrective action which may change or affect the initial calibration criteria (e.g., ion source cleaning or repair, column replacement, etc.), or if the continuing calibration acceptance criteria have not been met.	relative response factor (RRF) ≥ minimum acceptable RRF listed in Table 5 of procedure;  All target compounds, initial relative standard deviation (RSD) ≤ 10% or 20% and correlation coefficient (r)> 0.995. %RSD ≤ value in Table 5 of SOM01.2 or other laboratory SOP as applicable.	Inspect system for problems (e.g., clean ion source, change the column, service the purge and trap device), correct problem, re-calibrate.	EPA CLP Laboratory GC/MS Technician	DESA SOPs: C-89 & C-90 CLP Laboratory - TBD	



# QAPP Worksheet #24 Analytical Instrument Calibration Table

Instrument	Calibration Procedure	Frequency of Calibration	Acceptance Criteria	Corrective Action (CA)	Person Responsible for CA	SOP Reference <sup>1</sup>
GC/MS	Continuing calibration (CCV)	Once every 12 hours or as per laboratory SOPs	%D ≤15% or <30% or as per laboratory SOPs	Inspect system; correct problem; recalibrate the instrument, reanalyze affected samples and standards.	EPA CLP Laboratory GC/MS Technician	SOM01.2/8270C
GC/MS	Calibration Standards Verification	Each lot of standards	As per laboratory established control limits	Inspect system; correct problem; re-run standard and affected samples	EPA CLP Laboratory GC/MS Technician	SOM01.2/8270C
GC/MS	Tuning	Daily: every 12 hours	Response factors and RRF as method specified	Inspect system; correct problem; re-run standard and affected samples	EPA CLP Laboratory GC/MS Technician	SOM01.2/8270C
		Calibration	Per method/ laboratory SOP. ICAL ≤15%RSD.			
CVAFS	Per method and laboratory SOP	ICV: Check daily when instrument is in use	85-115% R for Total mercury; 80-120%R for methyl mercury	Inspect the system, correct problem, re-calibrate, and	Assigned laboratory personnel	EPA 1630/1631 Subcontract
		CCV: Beginning and after every 10 samples	77-123% R for total mercury; 67-133%R for methyl mercury	re-analyze samples.		Laboratory - TBD
ICP-AES and ICP-MS	See ILM05.4; as per instrument manufacturer's recommended procedures	Initial calibration: daily or once every 24 hours and each time the instrument is set up.	ICP-AES: As per instrument manufacturer's recommended procedures, with at least 2 standards.	Inspect the system, correct problem, re-calibrate, and re-analyze samples.	TBD  DESA Laboratory, EPA CLP Laboratory or Subcontractor	ILM05.4 or SW-846, 6010B/6020 SOPs: DESA Lab: C-109 & C-112
	Initial calibration	Daily; after tuning and optimizing instrument	r >0.995; minimum of 3 standards and a blank	Repeat analysis; re-prepare calibration standards and reanalyze	ICP-AES / ICP-MS Technician/ analyst / QA officer	CLP: NA



## QAPP Worksheet #24 Analytical Instrument Calibration Table

Instrument	Calibration Procedure	Frequency of Calibration	Acceptance Criteria	Corrective Action (CA)	Person Responsible for CA	SOP Reference <sup>1</sup>
	ICV	Before sample analysis	90-110% recovery; source of standard separate from calibration standards	Re-calibrate instrument; prepare fresh ICV standards; do not analyze samples until problem is corrected		
ICP-AES and	Reporting Limit Standard	After initial calibration verification standard	80-120% recovery or concentration ≤ 30% difference (from true value)	Re-analyze failed standard	TBD  DESA Laboratory,	ILM05.4 or SW-846,
ICP-MS	CCV	Every 10 samples and at end of analytical sequence	90-110% recovery; source of standard separate from calibration standards	Re-check; re-calibrate and rerun all samples analyzed after last valid CCV	EPA CLP Laboratory or Subcontractor ICP-AES / ICP-MS	6010B/6020 SOPs: DESA Lab: C-109 & C-112
ICP-MS	Continuing Beginning and end of calibration run; 10% frequency or every 2 hours during an analysis run As per instrument manuf recommended procedure standards. A minimum of integrations are required		es, with at least 2 of three replicate	Technician/ analyst / QA officer	CLP: NA	



<sup>1.</sup> The analytical laboratory is TBD as per FASTAC and as requested by the USACE and EPA. The general SOP numbers are shown where a specific SOP reference was not available. General GC/MS calibration requirements are presented. Instruments used for analyses follow the calibration frequencies outlined in the method SOP. Laboratory specific calibration information is maintained by the laboratories; method specific calibration information is detailed in the methods.

### QAPP Worksheet #28-d QC Samples Table

Matrix	Sediment
Analytical Group	PCB Congeners
Concentration Level	Low (pg/g)
Sampling SOP(s)	See Worksheet #21
Analytical Method/SOP Reference	EPA 1668A or CBC01.2
Sampler's Name	TBD
Field Sampling Organization	CDM
Analytical Organization	EPA Headquarters Laboratory
No. of Sample Locations	See Worksheets #18 & 20

QC Sample:	Frequency/Number	Method/SOP QC Acceptance Limits	Corrective Action	Corrective Action Person(s) Responsible for Corrective Action		Measurement Performance Criteria
Method Blank	1 per 20 samples	< QL	If samples non-detect or if lowest sample result is >10 times the blank-no action; otherwise redigest and reanalyze	Laboratory Analyst	boratory Analyst Accuracy/Sensitivity	
Laboratory Duplicate	1 per 20 samples	≤ 20% RPD; ±QL for samples <10x QL	Flag outliers	Laboratory Analyst	Precision	RPD ≤ 20%
Certified Reference Material or Quality Control Sample	Periodically at least quarterly	70-130%R;	Check standards; recalibrate if required	' Lianoratory Analyst Lian		70-130%R;
Calibration Verification Sample	Beginning of each 12-hour shift	70-130%R;	Adjust and/or recalibrate	Adjust and/or recalibrate Laboratory Analyst Acc		70-130%R;
Initial Precision and Recovery	Prior to sample analysis	Per laboratory SOP	Investigate and correct	nvestigate and correct Laboratory Analyst Accu		60-140%R ≤ 40% RSD
Ongoing Precision and Recovery	1 per batch of 20 samples	Per laboratory SOP	Identify source of problem, recalibrate if needed/ make other adjustments and reanalyze	Laboratory Analyst	Accuracy	Warning 70-130%R; Accept 50-150%R
Sample splits and field duplicates	1 per 20 samples	None	Data assessor to inform PM if MPC is exceeded; address in data quality assessment	Issessor to inform MPC is exceeded; ss in data quality  CDM ASC  Precisi		≤ 40% RPD (for results ≥ 5QL)
Temperature Blank	1 per cooler	≤ 6 degrees Celsius	Note outlier in laboratory narrative. Inform CDM of failure and need for additional coolant; check packing procedure	Laboratory Analyst	Accuracy/bias	≤ 10 degrees Celsius for data validation



### QAPP Worksheet #28-e QC Samples Table

Matrix	Sediment
Analytical Group	PCDD/PCDF
Concentration Level	Low (mg/L)
Sampling SOP(s)	See Worksheet #21
Analytical Method/SOP Reference	EPA 1613B/ MLA-017
Sampler's Name	TBD
Field Sampling Organization	CDM
Analytical Organization	Axys Analytical Services Ltd.
No. of Sample Locations	See Worksheet #18 & 20

QC Sample:	Frequency/Number	Method/SOP QC Acceptance Limits	Corrective Action Person(s) Responsible for Corrective Action		Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measurement Performance Criteria
Method Blank	1 per 20 samples	Per laboratory SOP	If samples non-detect or if lowest sample result is >10 times the blank-no action; otherwise redigest and reanalyze	Laboratory Analyst	Accuracy/Sensitivity	No analyte > QL
Laboratory Duplicate	1 per 20 samples	Per laboratory SOP	Investigate and correct; Flag outliers	Laboratory Analyst	Precision	± 20% of mean if sample concentration >10x DL
Initial Precision and Recovery	Prior to sample analysis	Per laboratory SOP	Investigate and correct	nvestigate and correct Laboratory Analyst		Per method/laboratory SOP
Ongoing Precision and Recovery	1 per batch of 20 samples	Per laboratory SOP	Identify source of problem, make other adjustments; redigest if needed and reanalyze	Laboratory Analyst	Accuracy	Individual laboratory established limits per SOP
Sample splits and field duplicates	1 per 20 samples	None	Data assessor to inform PM if MPC is exceeded; address in data quality assessment	CDM ASC	Precision	≤ 40% RPD (for results ≥ 5QL)
Temperature Blank	1 per cooler	≤ 6 degrees Celsius	Note outlier in laboratory narrative. Inform CDM of failure and need for additional coolant; check packing procedure	Laboratory Analyst	Accuracy/bias	≤ 10 degrees Celsius for data validation

#### Notes:

The assigned laboratory also must perform and meet all the measurement performance criteria that assess the analytical DQIs as specified in EPA Method 1613B.



### QAPP Worksheet #28-f QC Samples Table

Matrix	Sediment
Analytical Group	Chlorinated Pesticides
Concentration Level	Low
Sampling SOP(s)	See Worksheet #21 – split of CPG samples
Analytical Method/SOP Reference	EPA 1613B Modified/ MLA-028
Sampler's Name	TBD
Field Sampling Organization	CDM
Analytical Organization	Axys Analytical Services Ltd.
No. of Sample Locations	See Worksheet #18 & 20

QC Sample:	Frequency/Number	Method/SOP QC Acceptance Limits	Corrective Action Person(s) Responsible for Corrective Action		Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measurement Performance Criteria
Method Blank	per extract batch	Per laboratory SOP	Investigate and correct per laboratory SOP  Laboratory Analyst Accuracy/Se		Accuracy/Sensitivity	No analyte > QL
Laboratory Duplicate	1 per 20 samples	Per laboratory SOP	Investigate and correct; Flag outliers	Laboratory Analyst	Precision	± 20% of mean if sample concentration >10x DL
Initial Calibration	Prior to sample analysis	Per laboratory SOP	Investigate and correct	Laboratory Analyst	Accuracy	Per laboratory SOP
Calibration Verification; Certified Reference Material	Beginning of each 12-hour shift; Periodically at least quarterly	Per laboratory SOP	Check standards; recalibrate if required	I I aboratory Abalyet I Ac		Tables 4 and 5 of laboratory SOP
Ongoing Precision and Recovery/ MS	1 per batch of 20 samples prior to sample analysis	50-130% Recovery per Table 4 and 5 of laboratory SOP	Identify source of problem, make other adjustments as per laboratory SOP	problem, make other adjustments as per Laboratory Analyst		See method limits; for individual compound limits see Tables 4 and 5 of laboratory SOP
Sample splits and field duplicates	1 per 20 samples	None	Data assessor to inform PM if MPC is exceeded; address in data quality assessment	lata assessor to inform M if MPC is exceeded; ddress in data quality  CDM ASC		≤ 40% RPD (for results ≥ 5QL)
Temperature Blank	1 per cooler	≤ 6 degrees Celsius	Note outlier in laboratory narrative. Inform CDM of failure and need for additional coolant; check packing procedure	outlier in laboratory ative. Inform CDM of the and need for ional coolant; check  Accur		≤ 10 degrees Celsius for data validation

#### Notes:

The assigned laboratory also must perform and meet all the measurement performance criteria that assess the analytical DQIs as specified in EPA Method 1613B and laboratory SOP; such as performance of initial and ongoing studies, calibration verification, addition of internal standards, analyses of blanks and determination of detection limits.



### QAPP Worksheet #28-g QC Samples Table

Matrix	Sediment
Analytical Group	PAH
Concentration Level	Low
Sampling SOP(s)	See Worksheet #21 – split of CPG samples
Analytical Method/SOP Reference	Laboratory SOP, MLA-021
Sampler's Name	TBD
Field Sampling Organization	CDM
Analytical Organization	Axys Analytical Services Ltd.
No. of Sample Locations	See Worksheet #18 & 20

QC Sample:	Frequency/Number	Method/SOP QC Acceptance Limits	Corrective Action	Corrective Action Person(s) Responsible for Corrective Action		Measurement Performance Criteria
Method Blank	per extract batch	Per laboratory SOP	Investigate and correct per laboratory SOP  Laboratory Analyst Accur		Accuracy/Sensitivity	No analyte > QL
Laboratory Duplicate (MS/MSD)	1 per 20 samples	Per laboratory SOP	Investigate and correct; reanalyze affected samples. Flag outliers	reanalyze affected Laboratory Analyst P		± 20% of mean if sample concentration >10x DL
Matrix Spike	1 per 20 samples or with each group of field samples	Per laboratory SOP	Investigate and correct.  Document in data summary	Laboratory Analyst	Accuracy/Precision	50-200% Recovery per laboratory SOP
Perdeuterated Surrogate	Every field and QC sample, standards, blanks	Per laboratory SOP	Identify source of problem, make other adjustments and reanalyze	Laboratory Analyst	Accuracy	50-130% Recovery - See Laboratory SOP Table 2
Sample splits and field duplicates	1 per 20 samples	None	Data assessor to inform PM if MPC is exceeded; address in data quality assessment	CDM ASC	Precision	≤ 40% RPD (for results ≥ 5*QL)
Temperature Blank	1 per cooler	≤ 6 degrees Celsius	Note outlier in laboratory narrative. Inform CDM of failure and need for additional coolant; check packing procedure	Laboratory Analyst Accuracy/bias		≤ 10 degrees Celsius for data validation

#### Note:

The assigned laboratory also must perform the QA/QC sample analyses and meet all the measurement performance criteria that assess the analytical DQIs, such as laboratory duplicates and matrix spike duplicates for precision, matrix spikes, Deuterated monitoring compounds for accuracy, and blanks and method detection limits for sensitivity. The laboratory personnel must follow all the corrective actions required by the laboratory SOP.



### QAPP Worksheet #28-h QC Samples Table

Matrix	Sediment
Analytical Group	SVOC
Concentration Level	Low
Sampling SOP(s)	See Worksheet #21 – split of CPG samples
Analytical Method/SOP Reference	SOM01.2 or 8270C
Sampler's Name	TBD
Field Sampling Organization	CDM
Analytical Organization	As per FASTAC [DESA or EPA CLP Laboratory]
No. of Sample Locations	See Worksheet #18 & 20

QC Sample:	Frequency/ Number	Method/SOP QC Acceptance Limits	Corrective Action	Person(s) Responsible for Corrective Action	Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measurement Performance Criteria
Method Blank	per extract batch	Per method/laboratory SOP	Investigate and correct per laboratory SOP	Laboratory Analyst	Accuracy/ Sensitivity	No analyte > QL
Temperature Blank	1 per cooler	≤ 6 degrees Celsius	Note outlier in laboratory narrative. Inform CDM of failure and need for additional coolant; check packing procedure	Laboratory Analyst	Accuracy/bias	≤ 10 degrees Celsius for data validation
Sample splits and field duplicates	1 per 20 samples	None	Data assessor to inform PM if MPC is exceeded; address in data quality assessment	CDM ASC	Precision	≤ 40% RPD (for results ≥ 5QL)
MS/MSD	1 per 20 samples	Per method/laboratory SOP	Investigate and correct; reanalyze affected	Laboratory Analyst	Precision	Individual limits listed as per laboratory established limits
MS/MSD and LCS	or with each group of field samples	Note: not required for Region 2 CLP analysis	samples. Flag outliers Document in case narrative	Laboratory Analyst	Accuracy	Individual limits listed as per laboratory established limits



Matrix	Sediment
Analytical Group	SVOC
Concentration Level	Low
Sampling SOP(s)	See Worksheet #21 – split of CPG samples
Analytical Method/SOP Reference	SOM01.2 or 8270C
Sampler's Name	TBD
Field Sampling Organization	CDM
Analytical Organization	As per FASTAC [DESA or EPA CLP Laboratory]
No. of Sample Locations	See Worksheet #18 & 20

QC Sample:	Frequency/ Number	Method/SOP QC Acceptance Limits		Corrective Action	Person(s) Responsible for Corrective Action	Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measurement Performance Criteria
		neutrals and 20-120% for acids		Identify source of problem, make adjustments and reanalyze as required			
		Phenol-d5	17-103 %R				
	Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether-d8	12-98 %R					
		2-Chlorophenol-d4	13-101 %R	Check calculations and instruments, reanalyze affected samples; up to 4 DMCs may fail to meet recovery limits (Section 11.3.4, Page D48/SVOC of SOM01.2)	Laboratory Analyst	Accuracy	Recovery - See Laboratory SOP limits
		4-Methylphenol-d8	8-100 %R				
	Every field and	Nitrobenzene-d5	16-103 %R				
DMC/Surrogates	QC sample,	2-Nitrophenol-d4	16-104 %R				
	standards, blanks	2,4-Dichlorophenol-d3	23-104 %R				
		4-Chloroaniline-d4	1-145 %R				
		Dimethylphthalate-d6	43-111 %R				
		Acenaphthylene-d8	20-97 %R				
		4-Nitrophenol-d4	16-166 %R				
		Fluorene-d10	40-108 %R				
		4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol-d2	1-121 %R				
		Anthracene-d10	22-98 %R	1			
		Pyrene-d10	51-120 %R				
		Benzo(a)pyrene-d12	43-111 %R				
Internal	all samples	50-200% of area, + 30 second re	etention time	Check calculations and	DESA or CLP	Accuracy	50-200% of area, +
Standards		shift		instruments, reanalyze	Laboratory GC/MS		30 second
				affected samples	Technician		retention time shift

**Notes**:The assigned laboratory also must perform the QA/QC sample analyses and meet all the measurement performance criteria that assess the analytical DQIs as specified in 8270, such as matrix spike duplicates for precision, matrix spikes, deuterated monitoring compounds for accuracy, and blanks and method detection limits for sensitivity. The laboratory personnel must follow all the corrective actions required by the laboratory SOP or subcontract Statement of work (SOW).



### QAPP Worksheet #28-i QC Samples Table

Matrix	Sediment
Analytical Group	Metals (no mercury)
Concentration Level	Low
Sampling SOP(s)	See Worksheet #21 – split of CPG samples
Analytical Method/SOP Reference	SW-846 6010B/6020 or ILM05.4
Sampler's Name	TBD
Field Sampling Organization	CDM
Analytical Organization	As per FASTAC [DESA or EPA CLP Laboratory]
No. of Sample Locations	See Worksheet #18 & 20

QC Sample:	Frequency/Number	Method/SOP QC Acceptance Limits	Corrective Action	Person(s) Responsible for Corrective Action	Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measurement Performance Criteria
Sample splits and field duplicates	1 per 20 samples	None	Data assessor to inform PM if MPC is exceeded; address in data quality assessment	CDM ASC	Precision	≤ 40% RPD (for results ≥ 5QL)
Temperature Blank	1 per cooler	≤ 6 degrees Celsius	Note in laboratory narrative. CDM will use more coolant; check packing procedure	Laboratory Analyst	Accuracy/bias	≤ 10 degrees Celsius for data validation
Preparation Blank	1 per 20 samples	No constituent > CRQL	Suspend analysis until source rectified; re-digest and reanalyze affected samples	Laboratory Analyst	Accuracy/Sensitivity	No result > CRQL
Laboratory duplicate	1 per 20 samples or each group	± 20% RPD**	Investigate and correct; Flag outliers; Note in case narrative	Laboratory Analyst	Precision	≤ 35% RPD if result >5CRQL
Spike	1 per 20 samples	75-125%R*	Flag outliers	Laboratory Analyst	Accuracy	75-125%R*
Post-Digestion Spike	after any analyte (except Ag) fails spike %R	75-125%R	Flag outliers	Laboratory Analyst	Accuracy	75-125%R
Interference Check Sample [ICP Analysis Only]	beginning, end and periodically during run (twice each 8 hr)	± 2 *CRQL of true value or ± 20% of true value, whichever is greater	Check calculations and instruments, reanalyze affected samples	Laboratory Analyst	Sensitivity	± 2 * CRQL of true value or ± 20% of true value, whichever is greater
Laboratory Control Sample	1 per 20 samples	Control limits established by EPA*	Suspend analysis rectify source; re-digest and reanalyze affected samples	Laboratory Analyst	Accuracy	90-110%R

#### Notes:



July 9, 2010 Page 47 of 55

### QAPP Worksheet #28-j QC Samples Table

Matrix	Sediment
Analytical Group	Methyl Mercury
Concentration Level	Low
Sampling SOP(s)	See Worksheet #21 – split of CPG samples
Analytical Method/SOP Reference	EPA 1630
Sampler's Name	TBD
Field Sampling Organization	CDM
Analytical Organization	CDM Subcontract Laboratory-TBD
No. of Sample Locations	See Worksheet #18 & 20

QC Sample:	Frequency/Number	Method/SOP QC Acceptance Limits	Corrective Action	Person(s) Responsible for Corrective Action	Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measurement Performance Criteria
Sample splits and field duplicates	1 per 20 samples	None	Data assessor to inform PM if MPC is exceeded; address in data quality assessment	CDM ASC	Precision	≤ 40% RPD (for results ≥ 5QL)
Temperature Blank	1 per cooler	≤ 6 degrees Celsius or frozen as applicable	Note in laboratory narrative. CDM will use more coolant; check packing procedure	Laboratory Analyst	Accuracy/bias	≤ 10 degrees Celsius or per WS 19 and 37 for data validation
Preparation Blank	3 per 20 samples or batch	No constituent > QL Per laboratory SOP	Suspend analysis until source rectified; re-distill and reanalyze affected samples if results are <10 times the blank	Laboratory Analyst	Accuracy/Sensitivity	No result > 5*MDL
Laboratory duplicate	1 per 20 samples	≤ 35% RPD or ± 2 x PQL if sample < 5PQL or per laboratory SOP	Investigate and correct; Flag outliers; Note in case narrative. Multiple failures require re-distillation and reanalysis.	Laboratory Analyst	Precision	≤ 35% RPD if result >5QL
Certified Reference Material or Ongoing Precision and Recovery Samples	1 per 20 samples or with each group of field samples	Per laboratory SOP	Check calculations and instruments, reanalyze affected samples	Laboratory Analyst	Accuracy	67-133%R of true value
MS/MSD	1 per 20 samples or with each group of	Per laboratory SOP	Investigate matrix effects and	Laboratory Analyst	Accuracy	65-135%R
	field samples		note in data narrative.	Laboratory Analyst	Precision	RPD ≤35%

#### Notes:



Page 48 of 55

### QAPP Worksheet #28-k QC Samples Table

Matrix	Sediment
Analytical Group	Total Mercury
Concentration Level	Low
Sampling SOP(s)	See Worksheet #21 – split of CPG samples
Analytical Method/SOP Reference	EPA 1631
Sampler's Name /Organization	TBD /CDM
Analytical Organization	CDM Subcontract Laboratory-TBD
No. of Sample Locations	See Worksheet #18 & 20

QC Sample:	Frequency/Number	Method/SOP QC Acceptance Limits	Corrective Action	Person(s) Responsible for Corrective Action	Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measurement Performance Criteria
Sample splits and field duplicates	1 per 20 samples	None	Data assessor to inform PM if MPC is exceeded; address in data quality assessment	CDM ASC	Precision	≤ 40% RPD (for results ≥ 5QL)
Temperature Blank	1 per cooler	≤ 6 degrees Celsius or frozen as applicable	Note in laboratory narrative. CDM will use more coolant; check packing procedure	Laboratory Analyst	Accuracy/bias	≤ 10 degrees Celsius or per WS 19 and 37 for data validation
Preparation Blank	3 per 20 samples or batch	Per laboratory SOP	Reanalyze. Suspend analysis until source rectified; re-distill and reanalyze affected samples if results are <10 times the blank	Laboratory Analyst	Accuracy/Sensitivity	No result > 5MDL
Laboratory duplicate	1 per 20 samples	Per laboratory SOP	Investigate and correct; Flag outliers; Note in case narrative. Multiple failures require re-distillation and reanalysis.	Laboratory Analyst	Precision	≤ 35% RPD if result >5CRQL
Certified Reference Material or Ongoing Precision and Recovery Samples	1 per 20 samples or with each group of field samples	Per laboratory SOP	Check calculations and instruments, reanalyze affected samples. Report in case narrative.	Laboratory Analyst	Accuracy	70-130%R for OPR <20 RSD for IPR 75-125%R for CRM/IPR
MS/MSD	1 per 20 samples or with each group of	Per laboratory SOP	Investigate matrix effects and	Laboratory Analyst	Accuracy	70-130%R
WOWOD	field samples	T ET IADOTATORY SOF	note in data narrative.	Laboratory Analyst	Precision	RPD ≤35% (30 per method)

#### Notes:



### QAPP Worksheet #28-I QC Samples Table

Matrix	Sediment
Analytical Group	TOC
Concentration Level	Low
Sampling SOP(s)	See Worksheet #21 – split of CPG samples
Analytical Method/SOP Reference	Lloyd Kahn
Sampler's Name	TBD
Field Sampling Organization	CDM
Analytical Organization	As per FASTAC [DESA or CDM Subcontract Laboratory]
No. of Sample Locations	See Worksheet #18 & 20

QC Sample:	Frequency/Number	Method/SOP QC Acceptance Limits	Corrective Action	Corrective Action Person(s) Responsible for Corrective Action		Measurement Performance Criteria
Preparation Blank	per extract batch	≤ 100 mg/kg	Investigate and correct; recalibrate if still outlying			No result > QL
Laboratory duplicate	1 per 20 samples or with each group of field samples	≤ 20% RPD	Investigate and correct; reanalyze affected samples. Flag outliers Document in case narrative		Precision	≤ 25% RPD
Detection Limit Verification Standard	per batch	± 25% of true value	Investigate and correct; reanalyze with affected samples.			± 25% of true value
Sample splits and field duplicates	1 per 20 samples	None	Data assessor to inform PM if MPC is exceeded; address in data quality assessment  CDM ASC		Precision	≤ 40% RPD (for results ≥ 5QL)
Temperature Blank	Note outlier in laboratory narrative. Inform CDM of		Laboratory Analyst	Accuracy/bias	≤ 10 degrees Celsius for data validation	

#### Notes:



Revision: 0 July 9, 2010

Page 50 of 55

### QAPP Worksheet #28-m QC Samples Table

Matrix	Sediment
Analytical Group	Moisture
Concentration Level	Low
Sampling SOP(s)	See Worksheet #21 – split of CPG samples
Analytical Method/SOP Reference	ASTM 4643-93
Sampler's Name	TBD
Field Sampling Organization	CDM
Analytical Organization	Axys Analytical Services Ltd. /analyzed with low level PAHs
No. of Sample Locations	See Worksheet #18 & 20

QC Sample:	Frequency/Number	Method/SOP QC Acceptance Limits	Corrective Action	Person(s) Responsible for Corrective Action	Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measurement Performance Criteria
Laboratory duplicate	1 per 20 samples or with each group of field samples	≤ 20% RPD	Investigate and correct; reanalyze affected samples. Flag outliers Document in case narrative	Laboratory Analyst	Precision	≤ 20% RPD

#### Notes:



### Worksheet 30 Analytical Services Table

Matrix	Analytical Group	Concentration Level	Sample Location/ ID Numbers	Analytical SOP	Validated Data Package Turnaround Time Laboratory/Data Validation	Laboratory/ Organization (Name and Address, Contact person and Telephone Number)	Backup Laboratory/Organization (Name and Address, Contact person and Telephone Number <sup>1</sup>
Sediment	PCB Congeners	Low		EPA Method 1668A or CBC01.2	65 days (35 days /30 days) <sup>2</sup>	EPA Headquarters Laboratory	CDM Subcontract
Sediment	PCDD/PCDF	Low		EPA Method 1613B + DLM02.0/2.1 – Axys SOP MLA-017	65 days (35 days /30 days) <sup>2</sup>	Axys Analytical Services Ltd.	TBD
Sediment	Chlorinated Pesticides	Low		EPA Method 1613B/ Axys SOP MLA-028	65 days (35 days for laboratory analysis/ 30 days for data validation) <sup>2</sup>	Axys Analytical Services Ltd.	TBD
Sediment	Percent Moisture	Medium	TBD	SM2540G/ Axys SOP EGN007-07	see above	Axys Analytical Services Ltd.	TBD
Sediment	PAH	Low	160	Axys SOP MLA-021	65 days (35 days /30 days) <sup>2</sup>	Axys Analytical Services Ltd.	TBD
Sediment	TCL SVOC	Low		SOM01.2 or SW846 Method 8270C	51 days (21 days / 30 days)	DESA	EPA CLP
Sediment	Metals	Low		SW846 Method 6010B/6020	51 days (21 days / 30 days)	DESA	EPA CLP
Sediment	Total Mercury /Methyl mercury	Low		EPA Method 1630/1631	51 days (21 days / 30 days)	CDM Subcontract	TBD
Sediment	TOC	Low		Lloyd Kahn	51 days (21 days / 30 days)	DESA	CDM Subcontract

- 1: Sediment matrix refers to sediments split sample analyzed for sediment chemical concentration.
- 2: Subcontract laboratories will communicate with the ASC on split sample status and potential analytical difficulties (if any arise). With the approval of the ASC and Task Leader, the turn-around-time for the laboratory data package deliverable can be adjusted to account for re-analysis or additional quality control as necessary.



## QAPP Worksheet #36 Validation (Steps IIa and IIb) Summary Table

Step IIa/IIb	Matrix	Analytical Group	Concentration Level	Validation Criteria <sup>1, 3</sup>	Data Validator (title and organizational affiliation)
lla /llb		Chlorinated Pesticides –EPA 1613B Modified	Low-trace	Region 2 - National Functional Guidelines*	CDM
Ila /IIb		PCB Congeners – CBC01.2 or EPA 1668A	Low	Region 2 - Data Validation Guidelines SOP HW-46, rev 0 or National Functional Guidelines*	EPA Region 2
lla /llb		PCDD/PCDF Congeners – EPA 1613B	Low	EPA SOP HW-19 or 25, Validating PCDD/PCDF by HRGC/HRMS, Revision 1 or National Functional Guidelines*	CDM ASC, Scott Kirchner or designee
Ila /Ilb		PAH – Axys Laboratory SOP	Low-trace	National Functional Guidelines*	CDM ASC, Scott Kirchner or designee
Ila /IIb	Sediment	SVOCs - SOM01.2 Modified or 8270C	Low	Region 2 – Data Validation Guidelines SOP HW-35, rev 1 or National Functional Guidelines*	DESA or ESAT
IIa /IIb		Metals - 6010B/6020 or ILM05.4	Low/Medium	Region 2 - Data Validation Guidelines SOP HW-2, rev 13 or National Functional Guidelines*	DESA or ESAT
lla /llb		Methyl mercury - EPA 1630	Trace	National Functional Guidelines modified by QAPP Worksheets #12,15,19 and 24	CDM ASC, Scott Kirchner or designee
Ila /IIb		Total Mercury - EPA 1631	Trace	National Functional Guidelines modified by QAPP Worksheets 12,15,,19 and 24	CDM ASC, Scott Kirchner or designee
Ila /IIb		TOC - Lloyd Kahn	Low	DESA validation SOP or CDM 029A SOP modified by QAPP Worksheets #12,15,,19 and 24	DESA or CDM ASC, Scott Kirchner or designee

- 1. Results will be validated if analyzed by a subcontract laboratory by the process of data verification and assessment utilizing the laboratory QC summaries.
- 2. All validation procedures will utilize the measurement performance criteria in the QAPP and any additional method requirements.



PWCM/Generic QAPP Final Addendum No. 4
Surface Sediment Sampling co-located with Small Forage Range Fish Tissue Samples
Revision: 0
July 9, 2010
Page 53 of 55

### QAPP Worksheet #37 Usability Assessment

An Oversight Summary Report and Data Quality Summary Report will be prepared by CDM personnel. Frank Tsang, Task Order Manager, will be responsible for its content and for assigning this task to CDM personnel. The data comparability review and usability assessment will be conducted on validated data. The effectiveness of control actions will be evaluated during the laboratory review of the data, data validation and data evaluation and data quality assessment process. Data information will be documented in the laboratory narrative, data validation report and in the Data Comparability Report. The report will include an overall assessment of the CPG's analytical data using the results of the split sampling and field oversight including the field oversight observations of deficiencies and compliance; and an assessment of the split sampling data quality. The following items will be assessed for CDM split samples and conclusions drawn based on their results:

<u>Precision</u> – Results of laboratory duplicates will be assessed during data validation and data will be qualified according to the data validation procedures cited on Worksheet #36. Split samples will be compared by matrix using the relative percent difference (RPD) for each pair of results reported above quantitation limits (QL) or for organic and inorganic analyses respectively. RPD acceptance criteria of less than or equal those listed in this QAPP will be used to access sampling precision. Absolute difference will be used when one or both results are at or below the QL. An absolute difference of less than five times the QL will be the acceptance criteria. A discussion summarizing the results of laboratory precision and any limitations on the use of the data will be described in the report.

Accuracy/Bias Contamination — Results for all laboratory blanks will be assessed as part of the data validation. During the validation process, the validator will qualify the data following the procedures described on Worksheet #36. A discussion summarizing the results of laboratory accuracy and bias based on contamination will be presented and any limitations on the use of the data will be described in the report.

<u>Representativeness</u> —The representativeness of the survey data will be evaluated based on the ability to implement the surface sediment sampling as written in the QAPP. A determination will be made based on the observations completed during the surveys, whether the data results accurately represent the sediment concentrations in the study area during the summer benthic survey, and whether the results are comparable with those made in previous events.

<u>Comparability</u> –The results of this oversight will be used in conjunction with the CPG's data to support the investigation results. The data will be handled, analyzed and reported in a manner that is comparable to the CPG's data set. The RPD between CDM's and the CPG's data will be calculated.

<u>Completeness</u> – A completeness check will be performed on the split sample data generated by the laboratories. Completeness will be determined based on whether all CPG planned (or modified) sampling locations were sampled at the pre-determined frequencies and the obtained data set compared to the project completeness goal of 90 percent. A discussion summarizing the results of project completeness and any limitations on the use of the data will be described in the report.



For sampling, completeness will be calculated as the number of samples collected and analyzed divided by the number of planned for collection. For each analyte, completeness will also be calculated as the number of data points that meet measurement performance criteria divided by the total number of data points for that analyte. A discussion summarizing the results of project completeness and any limitations on the use of the data will be described in the report.

The results will be presented in text of the Data Comparability Report. Data gaps will be evaluated if requested by USACE/EPA. The report will discuss the completeness of the planned and collected data and the affect on the data objective of evaluating the accuracy of the CPG's data.

<u>Sensitivity</u> – Data results will be compared to project action limits provided on Worksheet #15. A discussion summarizing any conclusions about sensitivity of the analyses will be presented, and any limitations on the use of the data will be described in the report.

Reconciliation – The PQLGs presented in Worksheet #12 will be examined to determine if the objectives were met. This examination will include a combined overall assessment of the results of each analysis pertinent to an objective. Each analysis will first be evaluated separately in terms of major impacts observed from data validation, data quality indicators and measurement performance criteria assessments. Based on the results of these assessments, the quality of the data will be determined. Based on the quality determined, the usability of the data for each analysis will be determined. Based on the combined usability of the data from all analyses for an objective, it will be determined if the PQLG was met and whether project goals were achieved. As part of the reconciliation of each objective, conclusions will be drawn and any limitations on the usability of any of the data will be described.

The following equations will be used:

1. To calculate split sample precision: RPD = 100 \* 2 |X1 - X2| / (X1 + X2)

where X1 and X2 are the reported concentrations for each duplicate or replicate

2. To calculate split data completeness:

% Completeness = V/n \* 100 - where V= number of measurements judged valid; n = total number of measurements made and

% Completeness = C/x \* 100 - where C= number of samples collected; x = total number of measurements planned

The investigation results will be presented in table and figures and in the text of the Data Comparability Report. Data gaps will be evaluated if requested by USACE/EPA. The report will discuss the completeness of the planned and collected data and the affect on the data objective of evaluating the accuracy of the CPG's data.



PWCM/Generic QAPP Final Addendum No. 4 Surface Sediment Sampling co-located with Small Forage Range Fish Tissue Samples Revision: 0 July 9, 2010 Page 55 of 55

#### References:

Malcolm Pirnie and Battelle Duxbury Operations. 2009. Oversight Quality Assurance Project Plan. Biological Sampling, Community Surveys, and Toxicity and Bioaccumulation Testing. August.

Windward Environmental. 2009. Lower Passaic River Restoration Project. Quality Assurance Project Plan. Final Surface Sediment Chemical Analyses and Benthic Invertebrate Toxicity and Bioaccumulation Testing". Revision 0. October 8.

Windward Environmental. 2010. Lower Passaic River Restoration Project. Lower Passaic River Study Area RI/FS. Collection of Surface Sediment Samples Co-located with Small Forage Fish Tissue Samples. Addendum [2] to the QAPP. Surface Sediment and Benthic Invertebrate Toxicity and Bioaccumulation Testing. Draft. May 12.



## Appendix G

Figure 1 Excerpted from CPG's Benthic QAPP
Addendum No. 2: Collection of Surface Sediment
Sampling co-located with the Small Forage Fish Tissue
Samples

during the Summer 2010

Benthic Invertebrate Community Surveys

